

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

<p>1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected</p>	<p>2. Date 10/05/22</p>
<p>3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Chir 3 and 5</p>	
<p>4. Subject Professional Boundaries Education Requirements</p>	
<p>5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S</p>	<p>6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected s. 20.165 (1) (g)</p>
<p>7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</p>	
<p>8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)</p>	
<p>9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0</p>	
<p>10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p>11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapters Chir 3 and 5 cover the education requirements for licensure and renewal respectively. Neither of these chapters currently includes a requirement of education on the topic of professional boundaries. Other jurisdictions in the US require this topic as part of Chiropractor licensure, and the Board has determined that requiring this education will help protect the health and safety of the public of Wisconsin. The objective of the proposed rule is for the Board to review the requirements relating to education in Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapters Chir 3 and 5 to determine if a requirement on the topic of professional boundaries should be included.</p>	
<p>12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The rule was posted for 14 days on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website to solicit comments on the potential economic impact. No comments were received.</p>	
<p>13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None.</p>	
<p>14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) The rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole. The Department estimates a total of \$1,300 in annual ongoing costs and \$3,700 in one-time administrative costs, which may be absorbed in the agency budget.</p>	
<p>15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefits of implementing this rule are that Chiropractors will have ongoing education on professional boundaries and ethics..</p>	
<p>16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications of implementing this rule are improved practice for Chiropractors in Wisconsin and better overall patient care.</p>	

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government
None.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Rules of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation regulate continuing medical education (CME) for chiropractic physicians practicing in Illinois. The rules address CME hours requirements, acceptable CME programs and activities, requirements for CME sponsors and formal CME programs, certification of compliance with CME requirements, CME earned in other jurisdictions, and the waiver of CME requirements. Illinois does not require a certain number of CME hours to be on the topic of professional boundaries or ethics [68 Ill. Adm. Code 1285.110].

Iowa: Rules of the Iowa Board of Chiropractic regulate continuing education (CE) for chiropractors practicing in Iowa. The rules address required CE hours, standards for CE activities, and exemptions from CE requirements. Iowa requires 2 hours per biennium on the topic of “professional boundaries regarding ethical issues related to professional conduct that may include but are not limited to sexual harassment, sensitivity training, and ethics” [645 IAC 44].

Michigan: Rules of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs regulate continuing education (CE) for chiropractors practicing in Michigan. The rules address required CE hours, acceptable CE programs and activities, and approval of CE programs. Michigan requires at least one hour of CE on the topic of sexual boundaries and one hour on ethics [Mich Admin Code, R 338.12037 to R 338.12042].

Minnesota: Rules of the Minnesota Board of Chiropractic Examiners regulate continuing education (CE) for chiropractors practicing in Minnesota. The rules address required CE hours, CE program approval and approval criteria, approval of CE sponsors, and the waiver or deferment of CE requirements. Minnesota does not require a certain number of CE hours to be on the topic of professional boundaries or ethics [Minnesota Rules, parts 2500.1200 to 2500.2000].

19. Contact Name

Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number

608-267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
 Yes No
