

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Type of Estimate and Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected | 2. Date September 22, 2021 |
| 3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Phar 5, 6, 7, 11, 12 | |
| 4. Subject Name and address change, floor design, procedures for disciplinary proceedings, superseded references, and technical correction | |
| 5. Fund Sources Affected <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S | 6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g) |
| 7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule <input type="checkbox"/> No Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget | |
| 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) <input type="checkbox"/> State's Economy <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Businesses/Sectors <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Units <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utility Rate Payers <input type="checkbox"/> Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) | |
| 9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0 | |
| 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |
| 11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The objective of the rule is to repeal or modify provisions of the rule identified in the Pharmacy Examining Board's report to the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules pursuant to s. 227.29, Stats. In addition, the rule will make a typographical correction in Phar 7.04 (3). | |
| 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. The rule was posted for 14 days on the Department of Safety and Professional Services' website to solicit comments on the potential economic impact. No comments were received. | |
| 13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None. | |
| 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) No economic or fiscal impacts are anticipated for specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units, or the state's economy as a whole. A total of \$120.32 in one time costs are anticipated to be absorbed within the operating budget of the Department of Safety and Professional Services. | |
| 15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit to implementing the rule is providing updates reflecting current pharmacy practice. If the rule is not implemented, it will continue to provide outdated references and terminology and reflect practices that are no longer current. | |
| 16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implication of implementing the rule is updated references and terminology and reflecting current pharmacy practice. | |
| 17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government | |

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

None

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Statutes outlining Illinois' Pharmacy Practice Act are found under 225 ILCS 85 and codified under IL 68/1330 for the Pharmacy Practice. Specifically, IL 68/1330.610 outlines the standards for pharmacy structure/equipment standards. The section does require a locked area for drugs. However, Illinois does not identify professional service area square footage requirements or signage requirements.

Iowa: The complete Iowa Board of Pharmacy rules are contained in 657 Iowa Administrative Code. The Iowa Pharmacy Practice Act is codified under administrative code chapter 155A, specifically related to licensed pharmacies under s. 155A.13. Rules do require a locked area for drugs. However, there are no comparable requirements for professional service area square footage or signage.

Michigan: Michigan administrative code MCL 338.536 for housing of pharmacies specifically requires pharmacies to have a prescription department that is devoted primarily to the practice of pharmacy that occupies not less than 150 square feet of space, and that it includes a prescription counter that provides not less than 10 square feet of free working surface. For each additional pharmacist on duty, workspace must be increased by not less than 4 square feet and pharmacies that occupy less than the entire area of the premises owned, leased, used, or controlled by the licensee must be permanently enclosed by partitions from the floor to the ceiling of substantial construction and must be securely lockable. There do not appear to be requirements for signage.

Minnesota: The Minnesota Administrative Code chapter 6800 related to pharmacies and pharmacists, provides the rules for the standards for pharmacies. Specifically, Minnesota Administrative Code section 6800.0700 provides minimum requirements for pharmacies. The pharmacy space requirements include the pharmacy must: contain more than 250 square feet in the dispensing and drug storage area; maintain a prescription dispensing counter at least 18 inches deep that provides 2 linear feet; maintain an aisle behind the prescription dispensing counter at least 36 inches wide, extending the full length of the counter; be surrounded by a continuous partition or wall extending from the floor to the permanent ceiling; and contain doors capable of being securely locked. There do not appear to be requirements for signage.

19. Contact Name

Nilajah Hardin, Administrative Rules Coordinator

20. Contact Phone Number

(608) 267-7139

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-