ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 2.42		
3. Subject Scheduling furanyl fentanyl as a Schedule I controlled substance		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapte	er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ⊠ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could	ase Costs Absorb Within Agency's Budget vase Cost
 7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million? ☐ Yes		
 Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule Waupaca County District Attorney requested emergency scheduling of furanyl fentanyl as required under s. 961.25, Stats. The Controlled Substances Board made a determination of an imminent hazard to the public. 		
 Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. This rule was posted for economic comments for 14 days and none were received. 		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA. None		
 Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule does not have a fiscal or economic impact. 		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Currently fentanyl analogs have increased in popularity and prevalence creating an imminent public health hazard. The benefit to scheduling furanyl fentanyl is protection of the public.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Furanyl fentanyl would be treated as a Schedule I controlled substance.		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government On September 27, 2016, the Dept. of Justice published a notice of intent to schedule furanyl fentanyl as a Schedule I.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Our surrounding states have not scheduled furanyl fentanyl.		
17. Contact Name		18. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes		(608) 261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

- 3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
- Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
- Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
- Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
- Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No