

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis

Original Updated Corrected

2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number

Pod 4.01 and 4.03(2)

3. Subject

Biennial registration of podiatrists

4. Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected

6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule

No Fiscal Effect Increase Existing Revenues Increase Costs
 Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
 Decrease Cost

7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)

State's Economy Specific Businesses/Sectors
 Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers
 Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)

8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?

Yes No

9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule

The proposed rule seeks to correct the biennial registration date found in ch. Pod 4.01 and Pod 4.03 (2) by substituting November 1st of each odd-numbered year with November 1st of each even-numbered year.

10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.

This proposed rule was posted on the Department of Safety and Professional Services website and on the Wisconsin government website for 14 business days to solicit comments from the public. No business, business sectors, associations representing business, local government units, or individuals contacted the department about the proposed rule during that time period.

11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.

No local governmental units participated in the development of this EIA.

12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

This rule will have no economic or fiscal impact on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility rate payers, local governmental units or the state's economy as a whole.

13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The benefit of implementing the rule is providing the correct information regarding the biennial registration date for licensed podiatrists in a manner in which licensees may find the information with ease.

14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

Consistency between the statute, s. 440.08 (2) (a) 60, Stats. and the rules ch. Pod 4.01 and 4.03 (2).

15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

None.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)

Illinois: Licenses issued in Illinois expire on January 31st of each odd-numbered year. A podiatrist may renew their license during the month preceding the expiration date. ILL. ADMIN. CODE tit. 68 §1360.55 a).

Iowa: Biennial license renewal for podiatrist is June 30th of each even-numbered year. Iowa Admin. Code r. 645-220.09 (1).

Michigan: Licensees must renew on an annual basis. MICH. ADMIN. CODE R 338.3701.

Minnesota: The renewal term begins on July 1 in odd-numbered years for a licensee whose license number is an odd number and in even-numbered years for a licensee whose license number is an even numbered. Minn. Rules. 6900.0200.

17. Contact Name

Katie Paff

18. Contact Phone Number

608-261-4472

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

- Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
 - Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
 - Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
 - Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
 - Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
 - Other, describe:
-

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

- Yes No
-