ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐Corrected	4/9/2020
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DHS 90, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 109, 152, 250, 251	
4. Subject s. 227.29, Stats., required 2018 review of administrative rules	
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected Not applicable
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase Costs Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)	
	ific Businesses/Sectors
	c Utility Rate Payers I Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).	
\$0	
10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?	
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule S. 227.29, Stats., required 2018 review of administrative rules. No reasonable policy alternatives exist to promulgating	
the proposed rules.	
 12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. None 	
 Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None 	
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)	
The proposed rules are not anticipated to have an economic or fiscal impact.	
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implement	nting the Rule
No reasonable policy alternatives exist to promulgating the proposed rules.	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The proposed rules are not anticipated to have an economic or fiscal impact.	
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government There appear to be no existing or proposed federal regulations that address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rules.	
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) There appear to be no applicable approaches being used by neighboring states that address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rules.	
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Laura Brauer Medicaid State Plan Amendment & Administrative Rules Coordinator Bureau of Benefits Management Division of Medicaid Services 608-266-5368

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No