ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis	2. Date	
Original Updated Corrected	03/21/19	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable)		
ch. DWD 295 Apprenticeship		
ch. DWD 296 Federal Equal Opportunity Standards for Apprenticeship Programs		
4. Subject Implement federal regulations in the apprenticeship program to comply with federal equal employment opoprtunity		
Standards.		
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
□ GPR	20.445 (1) (b) and (1) (nd)	
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
☑ No Fiscal Effect	□ Increase Costs □ Decrease Costs	
□ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
□ State's Economy		
	c Utility Rate Payers	
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).		
\$0		
 Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? 		
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
The department is directed to incorporate rules for all apprenticeship programs registered with the state for federal		
purposes to comply with the requirements of the state equal employment opportunity plan and promulgate rules that		
correspond to the requirements under 29 CFR 29 and 29 CFR 30. Because Wisconsin is recognized as a state		
apprenticeship agency, federal regulations required states to incorporate the changes made under 29 CFR 29 and 29 CFR 30.		
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals		
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.		
This rule will impact businesses with registered apprenticeship programs, businesses that apply for registered		
apprenticeship programs, applicants for apprenticeship and apprentices in a registered apprenticeship program.		
The department held one public bearing in Medicon on February 20, 2010. One person, John Mielke representing APC		
The department held one public hearing in Madison on February 20, 2019. One person, John Mielke representing ABC of Wisconsin, attended the hearing, spoke and provided written comments. John Schulze attended the hearing but did not		
speak.		
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA.		
The department provided an opportunity for the public, including local governmental units, to submit comments on the		
economic impact for 14 days. The department did not receive any comments.		
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local		
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)		
This rule will impact specific businesses that hire apprentices. Federal regulations prohibit the discrimination and		
harassment of an applicant for apprenticeship or an apprentice in a registered apprenticeship program because of their		
race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, age (40 or older), genetic information, or disability. There		
are no implementation or compliance costs expected to be incurred by implementing this rule.		

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule

The department is recognized by the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Apprenticeship, as a state apprenticeship agency. If the department does not promulgate the rule, the department will be at risk of losing this recognition and will not be permitted to register apprentices.

16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule

The department will maintain federal recognition as a state apprenticeship agency.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government

The rule reflects changes under federal regulations 29 CFR 29 (Labor Standards for the Registration of Apprenticeship) and 29 CFR 30 (Equal Employment Opportunity in Apprenticeship). Prior to an update in January 2017, the federal regulations were last revised in 1978, prohibiting discrimination based on race, sex, color, religion and national origin and requiring sponsors with more than five apprentices to develop and implement a written affirmative action plan for minorities and the inclusion of female apprentices. Currently, these regulations are intended to provide for more uniform training of apprentices and promote equal opportunity in apprenticeship.

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Minnesota operates a recognized state apprenticeship agency organized under 29 CFR 29.13 (Minn. Stat. Ch. 178). Illinois, Iowa and Michigan have not established state apprenticeship agencies and registered apprenticeship in those states operates under federal law only.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Karen Morgan	608-266-3133

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form) □ Yes □ No