ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		2. Date	
☐ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		3 December 2018	
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) DE 8.035			
4. Subject Preservation of patient health care records			
5. Fund Sources Affected	6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected		
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ☑ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate □ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Increase ☐ Could Ab	Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs Costs C	
 8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) State's Economy Local Government Units Public Utility Rate Payers Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A) 			
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1). \$0.00			
 10. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)? ☐ Yes ☐ No 			
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule 2017 Act 116 requires a person who manages or controls a business that offers dental or dental hygiene services, including management or control of a business through which the person allows another person to offer dental or dental hygiene services, to preserve patient health care records for an amount of time determined by the Dentistry Examining Board. The Dentistry Examining Board is implementing 2017 Act 116 to be consistent with current patient dental record retention requirements.			
 Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments. This rule was posted for economic impact comments and none were received. 			
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. None. The rule does not impact local governmental units.			
 14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact. 			
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Impleme The benefit of implementing this rule is to comply with 2017 preservation. This rule is consistent with the dental record ke dental records.	Act 116 in 6	designating a time period for dental record	
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule The long range implications are consistent rules and the ability for patients to access their dental records.			
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government None			

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) Illinois and Michigan require dental records to be maintained for 10 years frome the date of the last treatment provided. Iowa requires dental records to be maintained for a minimum of 6 years after last examination, prescription, or treatment and records for minors to be maintained for a minimum of either one year after the patient reaches 18 years of age or 6 years, whichever is longer. Minnesota requires dental records to be maintained for at least 7 years after the dentist last treated the patient and for minors at least 7 years past the age of majority.

19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number
Sharon Henes	608-261-2377

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

ATTACHMENT A

1. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)

2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses

3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?

Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements

Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting

Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements

Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards

Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements

Other, describe:

4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses

5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions

6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)

🗌 Yes 🗌 No