STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Type of Estimate and Analysis     Original □ Updated □ Corrected		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number CSB 2.41		
3. Subject Scheduling beta-hydroxythiofentanyl and butyryl fentanyl		
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	5. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected 20.165(1)(g)	
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	<ul><li>☐ Increase Costs</li><li>☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget</li><li>☐ Decrease Cost</li></ul>	
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Local Government Units  Specific Businesses/Sectors  Public Utility Rate Payers  Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)		
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
<ul> <li>9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule</li> <li>The United States Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration scheduled beta-hydroxythiofentanyl and butyryl fentanyl as schedule I controlled substances effective May 12, 2016. The Wisconsin Controlled Substance Board took affirmative action on July 13, 2016 to similarly treat beta-hydroxythiofentanyl and butyryl fentanyl as schedule I controlled substances effective July 18, 2016. The Board is currently promulgating a final rule.</li> <li>10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.</li> </ul>		
This rule was posted for economic comments and none were received.		
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  None.		
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred) This rule will not have an economic or fiscal impact.		
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule The benefit is for the federal and state controlled substances acts to be in conformity.		
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Beta-hydroxythiofentanyl and butyryl fentanyl will be treated as a schedule I controlled substance		
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government The federal government has scheduled beta-hydroxythiofentanyl and butyryl fentanyl as schedule I controlled substances.		
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) None of our surrounding states have scheduled beta-hydroxythiofentanyl and butyryl fentanyl.		
17. Contact Name	18. Contact Phone Number	

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

Sharon Henes	(608) 261-2377
--------------	----------------

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012)

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

## ATTACHMENT A

<ol> <li>Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Small Businesses (Separately for each Small Business Sector, Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)</li> </ol>
2. Summary of the data sources used to measure the Rule's impact on Small Businesses
3. Did the agency consider the following methods to reduce the impact of the Rule on Small Businesses?
☐ Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements
☐ Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Reporting
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards
☐ Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements
☐ Other, describe:
4. Describe the methods incorporated into the Rule that will reduce its impact on Small Businesses
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions
6. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)
☐ Yes ☐ No