STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis			
X Original Updated Corrected			
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number SPS 321, Uniform Dwelling Code, Construction standards			
Subject Natural light in rooms over garages.			
4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S		5. Chapte 20.16592	er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected)(j)
6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule			
☐ No Fiscal Effect	☐ Increase Existing Revenues	☐ Increa	se Costs
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues		☑ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
		☐ Decre	ase Cost
7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)			
☐ State's Economy ☐ Specific Businesses/Sectors			
□ Local Government Units □ Public Utility Rate Payers			
☐ Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)			
8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?☐ Yes☒ No			
9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule			
The proposed rule revisions would allow construction of rooms over garages in one- and two-family dwellings to be built without a window.			
10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that			
may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments.			
Representatives of the following: building contractors engaged in construction of housing; building inspectors; the construction material supply industry; and remodeling contractors engaged in the remodeling of housing			
11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.			
12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)			
No significant negative economic or fiscal impact is expected.			
13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule			
Home owners and building contractors would not be required to meet natural light requirements in rooms over garages. The alternative would be to maintain existing requirements for natural light in rooms over garages.			
14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule More options would be available to building designers and fewer regulations would be imposed on contractors and homeowners.			
15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.			
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, lowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.			
17. Contact Name			18. Contact Phone Number
Dan Smith			608-261-4463

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.