STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis ☐ Repeal ☑ Modification		
2. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number		
DWD 80, Wis. Adm. Code - Worker's Compensation		
3. Date Rule promulgated and/or revised; Date of most recent Evaluation		
The rule was first promulgated to codify procedures of the Worker's Compensation program in January 1956. The rule has been reviewed and modified on several occasions since that time, most recently in November 2007.		
4. Plain Language Analysis of the Rule, its Impact on the Policy Problem that Justified its Creation and Changes in Technology, Economic Conditions or Other Factors Since Promulgation that alter the need for or effectiveness of the Rule.		
The rule modifies the procedures for reports on salary continuation payments, eye injuries, open claims with more than 26 weeks of disability, and payments made for permanent total disability and supplemental benefits.		
In realtion to the topics of vocational rehabilitation and wrap-up insurance, the rule repeals obsolete terms and forms and provides current terminology for vocational specilaist and retraining plans.		
The rule repeals an obsolete provision relating to reports on the Uninsured Employers Fund and updates the terminology of provisions relating to delivering notices of worker's compensation insurance policy cancellations to the Wisconsin Compensation Rating Bureau.		
The rule revises the procedures for necessity of treatment disputes to include the requirement that supporting medical documentation be included when an insurer or self-insure employer gives notice that it disputes the necessity of particular treatment.		
5. Describe the Rule's Enforcement Provisions and Mechanisms		
The provisions affected are enforced by DWD in the course of dealing with particular claims or issues. Noncompliance is dealt with by an order for compliance or a denial of approval until compliance takes place.		
6. Repealing or Modifying the Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply) Specific Businesses/Sectors Public Utility Rate Payers		
(Check All That Apply) ☐ Public Utility Rate Payers ☐ State's Economy ☐ Small Businesses		
☐ Local Government Units		
7. Summary of the Impacts, including Compliance Costs, identifying any Unnecessary Burdens the Rule places on the ability of Small Business to conduct their Affairs.		
The rule does not place any new significant burdens on small business, worker's compensation insurers or self-insured		
employers.		
8. List of Small Businesses, Organizations and Members of the Public that commented on the Rule and its Enforcement and a Summary of their Comments.		
No comments were received during the public comment period on the economic impact analysis.		
The department held a public hearing on April 28, 2015 in Madison and accepted written comments until May 1, 2015.		

No one attended the hearing and no written comments were received.

DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

9. Did the Agency consider any of the following Rule Modifications to reduce the Impact of the Rule on Small Businesses in lieu of			
repeal? Less Stringent Compliance or Reporting Requirements			
Less Stringent Schedules or Deadlines for Compliance or Repor	tina		
☐ Consolidation or Simplification of Reporting Requirements	9		
☐ Establishment of performance standards in lieu of Design or Operational Standards			
Exemption of Small Businesses from some or all requirements			
☑ Other, describe: Elimination of obsolete forms and terminology.			
		11. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	No fiscal effect.		
12. Fiscal Effect of Repealing or Modifying the Rule			
	☐ Increase Costs		
☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	☐ Could	Absorb Within Agency's Budget	
	☐ Decre	ase Cost	
13. Summary of Costs and Benefits of Repealing or Modifying the Rule			
No costs to the state, minimal costs to insurers and self- insured employers. Benefits for the state and for insurers and			
self-insured employers from the elimination of obsolete forms and terminology.			
14. Did the Agency prepare a Cost Benefit Analysis (if Yes, attach to form)☐ Yes ☒ No			
15. Long Range Implications of Repealing or Modifying the Rule			
None.			
16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government			
Not applicable - there are no federal laws regulating worker's compensation insurance.			
17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
Iowa has generally similar rule provisions on required reports, similar provisions (statutes and a rule) on vocational			
rehabilitation, no rules on wrap-up insurance, no uninsured employer fund, statutory provisions on notices of			
cancellation, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.			
Illinois has similar but somewhat more extensive (statutory) report requirements, more detailed (statutory) requirements			
for reports on vocational rehabilitation, no rules on wrap-up insurance, an uninsured employers fund that is run by			
statute, a more detailed rule on notices of cancellation, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.			
on more detailed fail on notices of third and no sampliness process for necessary of detailed disputes.			
Michigan has generally similar rule provisions on required reports, similar provisions (via statute) on vocational			
rehabilitation, somewhat similar rules on wrap-up insurance (Wisconsin's rules cover more projects), no uninsured			
employers fund, a similar rule on notices of cancellation, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.			
employers rund, a summar rule on notices of earcenation, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.			
Ministrate has many antennias and a few manifed assents and a second and all 120 of a second at 2.1			
Minnesota has more extensive rules for required reports, rules on vocational rehabilitation with more detailed requirements, no rules on wrap-up insurance, a statute establishing an uninsured employer fund, requirements of notices			
	•	* *	
of cancellation set by statute, and no simplified process for necessity of treatment disputes.			
18. Contact Name		19. Contact Phone Number	
Howard Bernstein, DWD Legal Counsel		(608) 266-9427	

STATE OF MSCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2050 (C04/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WLSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

EXISTING ADMINISTRATIVE RULESFiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis