

**STATE OF WISCONSIN  
CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINING BOARD**

---

<b>IN THE MATTER OF RULEMAKING</b>	:	
<b>PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE</b>	:	<b>REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE</b>
<b>CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINING</b>	:	<b>CR 14-068</b>
<b>BOARD</b>	:	
	:	

---

**I. THE PROPOSED RULE:**

The proposed rule, including the analysis and text, is attached.

**II. REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE FORMS:**

None.

**III. FISCAL ESTIMATE AND EIA:**

The Fiscal Estimate and EIA are attached.

**IV. DETAILED STATEMENT EXPLAINING THE BASIS AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED RULE, INCLUDING HOW THE PROPOSED RULE ADVANCES RELEVANT STATUTORY GOALS OR PURPOSES:**

The purpose of the proposed rule is to carry out the legislative intent of 2013 Wisconsin Act 20. Act 20 repealed the practical examination requirement for persons seeking licensure as a chiropractor in Wisconsin. Before the passage of Act 20, applicants seeking licensure as a chiropractor had to take and pass a practical examination administered by the Chiropractic Examination Board pursuant to s. 446.02 (3) (a), 2011 Stats. The legislature replaced the practical examination with the requirement to complete parts I, II, III, and IV of the National Board of Chiropractic examination administered by the National Board of Chiropractic Examiners. Act 20 set the passing score of at least 438 on Part III and at least 475 on Part IV. The proposed rule amends chs. Chir 2 and 3 to reflect the change in examination requirements for licensure as a chiropractor pursuant to the passage of 2013 Wisconsin Act 20.

**V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE BOARD'S RESPONSES, EXPLANATION OF MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED RULES PROMPTED BY PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

The Chiropractic Examining Board held a public hearing on January 15, 2015. No one testified at the hearing, or submitted written comments.

**VI. RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**Comment: 2.c.** In Section 5, instead of repealing s. Chir 2.11 (3), it should be amended so that the reference to s. Chir 2.11 (1) is retained. Subsection (1) governs re-examination for the state law examination.

**Response:** Amending s. Chir 2.11 (3) so that it retains the reference to s. Chir 2.11 (1) adds no additional information and is redundant with s. Chir 2.11 (1). The state examination is not divided into separate competences that can be taken at different times such as the former practical examination.

All of the remaining recommendations suggested in the Clearinghouse Report have been accepted in whole.

**VII. REPORT FROM THE SBRRB AND FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS:**

None.