STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION DOA-2049 (R03/2012) DIVISION OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND FINANCE 101 EAST WILSON STREET, 10TH FLOOR P.O. BOX 7864 MADISON, WI 53707-7864 FAX: (608) 267-0372

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

| Type of Estimate and Analysis     X Original □ Updated □ Corrected   |           |  |
|--|-----------|--|
| Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number SPS 314, Fire Prevention   |           |  |
| 3. Subject Fire Prevention   |           |  |
| 4. Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED X PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S   | 5. Chapte | er 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected                |
| 6. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule  ☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Indeterminate ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues  |           | e Costs<br>Absorb Within Agency's Budget<br>ase Cost |
| 7. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)  State's Economy  Local Government Units  Specific Businesses/Sectors  Public Utility Rate Payers  Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)   |           |  |
| 8. Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?  ☐ Yes X No   |           |  |
| 9. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule The current rule adopts by reference the 2009 edition of the National Fire Protection Association's® NFPA® 1 fire prevention code. The proposed rule replaces the 2009 edition of NFPA 1 with the 2012 edition.  |           |  |
| 10. Summary of the businesses, business sectors, associations representing business, local governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule that were contacted for comments. Representatives of school boards, building owners and managers, fire chiefs, firefighters, and fire inspectors. |           |  |
| 11. Identify the local governmental units that participated in the development of this EIA.  |           |  |
| 12. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)   |           |  |
| 13. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule Fire prevention efforts would be guided by more up-to-date standards and information. Leaving the rule reference out-of-date standards and information would potentially increase risks to public safety.                                |           |  |
| 14. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule Fire losses would be reduced.   |           |  |
| 15. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.   |           |  |
| 16. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota) See comparison in the rule analysis that accompanies the proposed rule revisions.  |           |  |
| 17. Contact Name   |           | 18. Contact Phone Number                             |
| Sam Rockweiler   |           | 608-266-0797   |

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.