ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS						
Type of Estimate and Analys						
☐ Original ☐ Updat						
Administrative Rule Chapter	, Title and Number					
Chapter Tax 11 – Sales ar	nd use tax					
Subject						
Sales tax filing frequency						
Fund Sources Affected			Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected			
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PI	RO PRS SEG SEG-S					
Fiscal Effect of Implementing	g the Rule		L			
☐ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues						
☐ Indeterminate	Decrease Existing Revenues	8	☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget☐ Decrease Costs			
The Rule Will Impact the Fo	llowing (Check All That Apply)		<u> </u>			
State's Economy			eific Businesses/Sectors			
□ Local Government Uni			ic Utility Rate Payers			
_	Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$2	520 millioi	1?			
☐ Yes ⊠ No						
Policy Problem Addressed by	y the Rule					
The rule does not create of	or revise policy, other than to refle	ect curre	ent law and department policy.			
			Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local entation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)			
			d decrease state sales and use taxes by \$1.7 million is and use taxes by \$140,000 (non-recurring loss) in			
No comments concerning	No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.					
Benefits of Implementing the	e Rule and Alternative(s) to Implemen	nting the	Rule			
Clarifications and guidance governmental units, and in		es may 1	ower the compliance costs for businesses, local			
If the rule is not impleme policy.	nted, Chapter Tax 11 will be inco	omplete i	in that it will not reflect current law or department			
Long Range Implications of	Implementing the Rule					
No long-range implication						
Compare With Approaches I	Being Used by Federal Government					
N/A	<u> </u>					
Compare With Approaches I	Being Used by Neighboring States (Ill	llinois, Io	wa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
N/A						

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM		2013 36551011					
	L	_RB #					
□ ORIGINAL □ UPDATED	I	NTRODUCTION	#				
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEM	ENTAL	Admin rule #	Tax 11: Sales Tax Filing Frequency				
Subject Proposed order of the Department	of Revenue relating	to sales tax filing f	requency				
Fiscal Effect State: □ No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation □ Increase Existing Appropriation □ Increase Existing Revenues			☑ Increase Costs - May be Possible to AbsorbWithin Agency's Budget ☑Yes ☐ No				
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation	□ Decrease Existing	Revenues					
☐ Create New Appropriation			☐ Decrease Costs				
Local: No Local Government Costs							
1.	3.	evenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:				
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory	☐ Permissiv	ve Mandatory	☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities				
2. Decrease Costs	4. ⊠ Decrease Re	evenues	☐ Counties ☐ Others Stadium Districts				
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☒ Mandatory		☐ School Districts ☐ WTCS Districts					
Fund Sources Affected		Affected Ch. 20	Appropriations				
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS	☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S						

2012 Cassis

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rule modifies Chapter TAX 11 of the Administrative Code regarding the requirements for quarterly and annual sales and use tax filing. Currently, a registered business with an annual sales and use tax liability not exceeding \$300 must only file sales and use tax returns on an annual basis. If the retailer prefers to file on a quarterly basis, it must contact the department. The proposal would increase the \$300 threshold to \$600, allowing more businesses to file sales and use tax returns on an annual basis. The change to the filing threshold would be effective January 1, 2014.

Based on information from state sales and use tax returns, the rule change is expected to affect 8,091 filers. While the proposal does not impact tax liabilities, sales tax returns for quarters ending March 31 and June 30 would be due Dec 31 of the next fiscal year. Assuming all affected businesses file on an annual basis, the proposal would result in a \$1.7 million non-recurring loss in FY14.

County and stadium sales and use taxes were 8.2% of state sales and use taxes in FY13. Assuming this does not change, county and stadium taxes would decrease by \$140,000 (non-recurring loss) in FY14.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	Detailed Estimate of Annua	2013 Session	
☐ UPDATED			Admin. Rule # Tax 11: Sales Tax
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL		Filing Frequency	
Subject Proposed order of the Department of Reven	nue relating to sales tax filing fr	eguency	
One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or			ect): SEE TEXT
I. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:		
. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)			_
State Operations-Other Costs			-
Local Assistance		-	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-	
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$	
3. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR		\$	\$
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-	
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposa revenues (e.g., tax increase, decr		Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -	
GPR Earned		-	
FED		-	
PRO/PRS		-	
SEG/SEG-S		-	
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$ -	
	NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPAC	т	
		LOCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$ 0	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES Agency/Prepared by Wisconsin Department of Revenue Bob Schmidt 608 266-5773	\$ Authorized Signal Wisconsin Depai Bob S	\$ 0 Date 10/16/2013	