STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
DOA 2049 (R 07/2011)

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS		
Type of Estimate and Analysis		
☑ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected		
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number		
PI 31, Grants for STEM Programs		
Subject		
Repeal of PI 31		
Fund Sources Affected	Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG SEG-S		
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule		
 ☑ No Fiscal Effect ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues 	☐ Increase Costs ☐ Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Decrease Costs	
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)		
☐ Local Government Units ☐ Publ	cific Businesses/Sectors lic Utility Rate Payers	
Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million	1?	
☐ Yes No		
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule		
There is no longer funding or statutory authority for Grants for STEM Programs. Thus, the rule needs to be repealed.		
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, F Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implem		
Local: None. Grants for STEM Programs were eliminated in 2011 Act 32	2; repeal of the rule will have no fiscal effect.	
State: No fiscal effect.		
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the	Rule	
The rule needs to be repealed since there is no longer any statutory authority for the grant program. Otherwise, the rule will not reflect current law.		
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule		
Elimination of the rule will align the DPI Administrative Code with statutes.		
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government		
N/A.		
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)		
N/A.		

Name and Phone Number of Contact Person

Katie Schumacher, Department of Public Instruction Administrative Rules Coordinator, (608) 267-9127.