ADMINISTRATIVE RULES FISCAL ESTIMATE			
AND ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS			
Type of Estimate and Analysis			
⊠ Original □ Updated □ Corrected			
Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number			
Chapters Tax 4, 8, and 9 – Motor vehicle and general aviation fuel taxation; intoxicating liquors; and cigarette tax			
Subject			
General provisions of excise taxation and enforcement			
Fund Sources Affected Chapter 20 , Stats. Appropriations Affected			
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS □ SEG SEG-S			
Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule			
⊠ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Increase Costs			
Indeterminate Decrease Existing Revenues Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget Decrease Costs Decrease Costs			
The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)			
State's Economy			
Units Dublic Utility Rate Payers Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Be Greater Than \$20 million?			
\square Yes \square No			
Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule			
The rule does not create or revise policy, other than to reflect current law and department policy.			
Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)			
As indicated in the attached fiscal estimate, the revisions in the proposed rule will have no impact on either state tax revenues or the department's administrative costs.			
No comments concerning the economic effect of the rule were submitted in response to the department's solicitation.			
Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule			
Clarifications and guidance provided by administrative rules may lower the compliance costs for businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.			
If the rule is not implemented, Chapters Tax 4, 8, and 9 will be incomplete in that they will not reflect current law or department policy.			
Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule			
No long-range implications are anticipated.			
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government			
N/A			
Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
N/A			

COTIMATE EODM

FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM			2012 Session	
		LRB #		
ORIGINAL UPDATED		INTRODUCTION #		
	TED SUPPLEMENTAL		Chapter Tax 4, Chapter Tax 8, and Chapter Tax 9	
Subject Proposed order of the Department of Revenue relating to general provisions of excise taxation and enforcement				
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation □ Increase Existing Appropriation □ Decrease Existing Appropriation □ Decrease Existing Revenues		 Increase Costs - May be Possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No 		
Create New Appropriation			Decrease Costs	
Local: 🛛 No Local Government Costs				
1. 🗌 Increase Costs	3. Increase Revenues		5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:	
Permissive Mandatory	Permissive Mandatory		🗆 Towns 🔲 Villages 🔲 Cities	
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease Revenues		Counties Others	
Permissive Mandatory	Permissive Mandatory		School Districts UWTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected	•	Affected Ch. 20	Appropriations	
□ GPR □ FED □ PRO □ PRS	□ SEG □ SEG-	S		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:

The proposed rule order modifies several sections in Chapter Tax 4 (Motor vehicle and general aviation fuel taxation), Chapter Tax 8 (Intoxicating Liquors), and Chapter Tax 9 (Cigarette tax). It makes several changes to reflect current law and the technology now available to administer current law. The proposed rule updates department contact information and updates examples to utilize current tax rates.

The revisions in the proposed rule will have no impact on either state tax revenues or the department's administrative costs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications:

Agency/Prepared by Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue	Date
Jacek Cianciara 608 266-8133	Paul Ziegler 608 266-5773	Nov. 12, 2012

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

\boxtimes	ORIGINAL
-------------	----------

UPDATED □ CORRECTED □ SUPPLEMENTAL

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

2012 Session

Admin. Rule # Chapter Tax 4, Chapter Tax 8, and **INTRODUCTION #** Chapter Tax 9

Proposed order of the Department of Revenue relating to general provisions of excise taxation and enforcement.

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

LRB #

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impac	ct on State funds from:
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations-Other Costs		-
Local Assistance		-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		-
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$ O	\$-0
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$	\$ -
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S	\$	-
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	e Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes	\$	\$ -
GPR Earned		-
FED		-
PRO/PRS		-
SEG/SEG-S		-
TOTAL State Revenues	\$0	\$-0
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPAC	<u> </u> т	<u> </u>

	STATE		<u>OCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ O	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$0	\$	
Agency/Prepared by Wisconsin Department of Revenue Jacek Cianciara 608 266-8133	Authorized Signature/Telephone No. Wisconsin Department of Revenue Paul Ziegler 608 266-5773		Date Nov. 12, 2012