FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 (R 10/94) ORIGINAL UPDATED CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No. Ch. ATCP 69, Wis. Adm. Code Amendment No. (If Applicable)		
Subject: Qualifications To Apply For A Buttermaker License	,		
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect	☐ Increase Costs –		
Check below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.	May be possible to absorb within agency's budget? Yes No		
Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Create New Appropriation	Decrease Costs		
Local: No local government costs Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	5. Types of Local Gov. Unit Affected: Towns Villages Counties Cities Other School Districts WTCS Districts		
Fund Source Affected: GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations:		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Background

DATCP administers Wisconsin's buttermaker licensing program under ch. 97.17, Wis. Stats. DATCP has adopted licensing rules under ch. ATCP 69, Wis. Adm. Code. There have been few changes in the current program since 1929.

Under current law, butter must be made by or under the supervision of a licensed buttermaker. However, there are currently only 49 licensed buttermakers in the state. There is a growing national market for butter. Without more licensed buttermakers, Wisconsin's butter industry will be at significant risk and may be unable to take advantage of new market opportunities.

This rule will provide more flexible training and examination options to facilitate the training and licensing of qualified buttermakers. DATCP is developing this rule in consultation with the Wisconsin dairy industry, including the emerging artisan and farmstead buttermaking industry. DATCP has also consulted with the University of Wisconsin. This rule may take advantage of new training resources and technologies that were not previously available.

This rule will promote the development and diversification of Wisconsin's dairy industry. It will allow more people to enter the industry as licensed buttermakers, including makers of artisan and farmstead butter. It will help relieve an acute shortage of licensed buttermakers, which is putting the state's entire butter industry at risk. It will also ensure the safety and quality of Wisconsin butter, and maintain Wisconsin's reputation as a dairy leader, by ensuring that buttermakers are adequately trained and qualified.

Impact of the Proposed Rule on State Government

This rule will have no significant fiscal impact on Wisconsin state or local government. This rule does not increase buttermaker license fees. This rule may increase the number of buttermaker licenses, but the increase is not expected to have a significant impact on DATCP license revenues or administrative costs. DATCP expects to absorb any increased costs with current budget and staff.

Impact of the Proposed Rule on Local Government

None

Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Charles T. Leitzke, (608) 224-4711	William Walker, (608) 224-4353	

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET		2004 SESSION			
Detailed Estimate of	ORIGINAL UPDATED	LRB or Bill No/Adm. F	LRB or Bill No/Adm. Rule No. Amendment No.		
Annual Fiscal Effect	CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL	Ch. ATCP 69, V	Vis.		
DOA-2047 (R10/94)	CORRECTED 30FFLEMENTAL	Adm. Code			
SUBJECT					
Qualifications To Apply For A	Buttermaker License				
L One-time Cost or Impacts for Costs are recurring; see below.	r State and/or Local Government (do no	t include in annualized fis	scal effe	ct):	
II. Annualized Cost:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:			
A. State Costs by Category		Increased Costs Decreased Costs			
State Operations - Sala	uries and Fringes	\$-0		\$ -0	
T. State operations bank	ines una l'imges	Ψ 0		Ψ	
2. (FTE Position Changes	(3)	(-0 FTE)		(-0 FTE)	
3. State Operations - 0	Other Costs	0		- 0	
_					
4. Local Assistance		0		- 0	
5. Aids to Individuals or	Organizations	0		- 0	
	TOTAL State Costs by Category	0		\$ -0	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
1. GPR		0		\$ -0	
2. FED		0		- 0	
3. PRO/PRS		0		- 0	
4. SEG/SEG-S				- 0	
III. State Revenues -		Increased Revenue	Deci	eased Revenue	
Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decre	ase state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)				
GPR Taxes		\$ 0		\$ -0	
GPR Earned		0		- 0	
• FED		0		- 0	
PRO/PRS		0		- 0	
SEG/SEG-S		\$0		- 0	
	TOTAL State Revenues	\$ 00		\$ - 0	
	TOTAL State Revines	\$ 00		Ψ - 0	
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IM PA	CT				
					
	<u>STATE</u>		<u>LOCAL</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	<u>0</u>			0	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ <u>00</u>			0)	

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

William Walker, (608) 224-4353

Date

Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

Charles T. Leitzke, (608) 224-4711