FISCAL ESTIMATE         DOA-2048 (R 10/94)         ORIGINAL         UPDATED         CORRECTED         SUPPLEMENTAL	LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No. Ch. ATCP 69, Wis. Adm. Code Amendment No. (If Applicable)				
Subject:					
Qualifications To Apply For A Buttermaker License         Fiscal Effect         State:       No State Fiscal Effect         Check below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.         Increase Existing Appropriation       Increase Existing Revenues         Decrease Existing Appropriation       Decrease Existing Revenues         Create New Appropriation       Decrease Existing Revenues	<ul> <li>Increase Costs –</li> <li>May be possible to absorb within agency's budget?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Decrease Costs</li> </ul>				
Local :       No local government costs         1.       Increase Costs       3.       Increase Revenues         Permissive       Mandatory       Permissive       Mandatory         2.       Decrease Costs       4.       Decrease Revenues         Permissive       Mandatory       Permissive       Mandatory         Fund Source Affected:       PED       PRO       PRS       SEG       SEG-S	5. Types of Local Gov. Unit Affected: Towns Villages Counties Cities Other School Districts WTCS Districts Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations:				

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

# **Background**

DATCP administers Wisconsin's buttermaker licensing program under ch. 97.17, Wis. Stats. DATCP has adopted licensing rules under ch. ATCP 69, Wis. Adm. Code. There have been few changes in the current program since 1929.

Under current law, butter must be made by or under the supervision of a licensed buttermaker. However, there are currently only 46 licensed buttermakers in the state. There is a growing national market for butter. Without more licensed buttermakers, Wisconsin's butter industry will be at significant risk and may be unable to take advantage of new market opportunities.

This rule will provide more flexible training and examination options to facilitate the training and licensing of qualified buttermakers. DATCP is developing this rule in consultation with the Wisconsin dairy industry, including the emerging artisan and farmstead buttermaking industry. DATCP has also consulted with the University of Wisconsin. This rule may take advantage of new training resources and technologies that were not previously available.

This rule will promote the development and diversification of Wisconsin's dairy industry. It will allow more people to enter the industry as licensed buttermakers, including makers of artisan and farmstead butter. It will help relieve an acute shortage of licensed buttermakers, which is putting the state's entire butter industry at risk. It will also ensure the safety and quality of Wisconsin butter, and maintain Wisconsin's reputation as a dairy leader, by ensuring that buttermakers are adequately trained and qualified.

## Impact of the Proposed Rule on State Government

This rule will have no significant fiscal impact on Wisconsin state or local government. This rule does not increase buttermaker license fees. This rule may increase the number of buttermaker licenses, but the increase is not expected to have a significant impact on DATCP license revenues or administrative costs. DATCP expects to absorb any increased costs with current budget and staff.

## Impact of the Proposed Rule on Local Government

None

Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Agency/prepared by. (Name & Phone No.)	Autionzeu Signature/ leiephone No.	Date
Charles T. Leitzke, (608) 224-4711	William Walker, (608) 224-4353	

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET	2004 SESS	SION			
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect DOA-2047 (R10/94)	LRB or Bill No/Adm. F Ch. ATCP 69, V Adm. Code				
SUBJECT Qualifications To Apply For A Buttermaker License					
<b>I.</b> One-time Cost or Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): Costs are recurring; see below.					
II. Annualized Cost:	Annualized Fiscal Imp	act on State funds from:			
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
1. State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$-0	\$-0			
2. (FTE Position Changes)	(-0 FTE)	(-0 FTE)			
3. State Operations - Other Costs	0	- 0			
4. Local Assistance	0	- 0			
5. Aids to Individuals or Organizations	0	- 0			
TOTAL State Costs by Category	0	<b>\$</b> -0			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs			
1. GPR	0	<b>\$</b> - 0			
2. FED	0	- 0			
3. PRO/PRS	0	- 0			
4. SEG/SEG-S		- 0			
III. State Revenues -	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue			
Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)					
• GPR Taxes	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> - 0			
GPR Earned	0	- 0			
• FED	0	- 0			
PRO/PRS	0	- 0			
SEG/SEG-S	\$0	- 0			
TOTAL State Revenues	\$ 00	\$ - 0			

#### NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	LOCAL
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	<u>0</u>	0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ <u>_00</u>	0 <u>)</u>
Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date
Charles T. Leitzke, (608) 224-4711	William Walker, (608) 224-4353	