						_		2009 Session
	$\boxtimes$	ORIGINAL		UPD	ATED		LRB or Bill DWD 290	No./Adm. Rule No.
FISCAL ESTIMATE		CORRECTED			PLEMENTAL			t No. if Applicable
DOA-2048 N(R03/97)		CORRECTEL	<b>.</b>	301	PLEWIENTAL	-	Amendmen	т но. п друпсавте
Subject Prevailing wage rates						<u>'</u>		
Fiscal Effect								
State: 🗵 No State Fiscal Effect								
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.						☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb  Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No		
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues								
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues						☐ Decrease Costs		
☐ Create New Appropriation								
Local: ☑ No local government costs								
1.	3. Increase Revenues					5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:		
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandator	-		,			☐ Towns	□ Villa	-
2. Decrease Costs		4. ☐ Decrea						rs
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandator	У	☐ Perr	missive	⊔ Ма		☐ School Di		☐ WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected  ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S  Affected Ch						h. 20 Appropriations		
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate								
The proposed rule does not create any new costs in the administration of the state prevailing wage program.								
		,					- p	, wage programm
Long-Range Fiscal Implications								
None								
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No	<u> </u>		Δμthori-	74 G: 4.	nature/Teleph	none No		Date
DWD/Howard Bernstein (608) 266-		7	AutilOi iZe	a Jigi	iature/ letepi	IONE NO.		Date