

Report From Agency

**RULE REPORT**

**Department of Commerce**

Clearinghouse Rule No.: 10-079 \_\_\_\_\_

Rule No.: Chapter Comm 106 \_\_\_\_\_

Relating to: The Wisconsin Development Fund \_\_\_\_\_

Contact person for substantive questions: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact person for internal processing: \_\_\_\_\_

Name Sam Rockweiler \_\_\_\_\_ Name (same) \_\_\_\_\_

Title Code Development Consultant \_\_\_\_\_ Title \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone Number 266-0797 \_\_\_\_\_ Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

1. Basis and purpose of the proposed rule.

These rule changes would update chapter Comm 106 to make it consistent with the portions of 2007 Wisconsin Act 20 and 2009 Wisconsin Acts 2, 28, and 265 that address the Wisconsin Development Fund, as administered by the Department under subchapter V of chapter 560 of the Statutes.

These rule changes would more effectively direct economic development grants and loans towards capital financing, worker training, entrepreneurial development, providing assistance to technology-based business or to businesses at a foreign trade show or event, promoting urban or regional economic development, establishing revolving loan funds, providing working capital, and promoting employee ownership.

2. How the proposed rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes.

The proposed rules are consistent with all of the related goals and purposes of subchapter V of chapter 560 of the Statutes.

3. Changes to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate that was prepared for public hearing.

No substantive changes were made to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate.



# FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

## Department of Commerce

CLEARINGHOUSE      RULE    10-079  
NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 106

RELATING TO: The Wisconsin Development Fund

Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)

1. Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements.

Less stringent application requirements are not proposed for small-business applicants because the application process has been simplified so as to not impose unnecessary administrative burdens or other impediments on any business that applies for a corresponding grant and loan.

2. Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.

No issues were raised.

3. Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.

No substantive new reporting would be imposed on small businesses.

4. Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.

The rules are not expected to impose significant costs on small businesses for other measures because the rules address submittal of documentation, and other activities, only by applicants that choose to the grants or loans addressed in the rules.

5. Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

6. Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

COM-10538 (N.03/97)

# RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

## Department of Commerce

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 10-079

RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 106

RELATING TO: The Wisconsin Development Fund

Agency contact person for substantive questions.

Name: Sam Rockweiler

Title: Code Development Consultant

Telephone No. 266-0797

Legislative Council report recommendations accepted in whole.

Yes

No

1. Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]

a.  Accepted

b.  Accepted in part

c.  Rejected

d.  Comments attached

2. Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)]

a.  Accepted

b.  Accepted in part

c.  Rejected

d.  Comments attached

3. Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]
- a.  Accepted
  - b.  Accepted in part
  - c.  Rejected
  - d.  Comments attached
4. Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]
- a.  Accepted
  - b.  Accepted in part
  - c.  Rejected
  - d.  Comments attached
5. Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]
- a.  Accepted
  - b.  Accepted in part
  - c.  Rejected
  - d.  Comments attached
6. Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s. 227.15(2)(g)]
- a.  Accepted
  - b.  Accepted in part
  - c.  Rejected
  - d.  Comments attached
7. Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]
- a.  Accepted
  - b.  Accepted in part
  - c.  Rejected

d.  Comments attached