Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

Corrected Supplemental Bill Number Administrative Rule Number WM-15-10						
Use of archery deer hunting. Iscenses and carcass tags. Iscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Revenues Press No State Stating Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Press No State Stating Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Press No Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Press No Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Re	□ Original	☐ Updated	LRB Number Bill Number			
Subject Use of archery deer hunting. licenses and carcass tags.	☐ Corrected	☐ Supplemental				
Fiscal Effect State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Stisting Appropriation Cocal: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Cocordise Mandatory C	Subject				WHI 13 TO	
State:	Use of archery deer	hunting licenses and carcass tag	S.			
Indeterminate Increase Costs May be possible to absort or affects a sum sufficient appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Costs Decrease Costs Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Costs Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing						
Increase Costs — May be possible to absort or affects a sum sufficient appropriation Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Yes No No No Increase Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Pecrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Revenues						
Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Costs Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Decrease Costs Decrease Revenues Decrea			ation	☐ Increase C	osts — May be possible to absorb	
Decrease Existing Appropriation	or affects a sum sufficie	nt appropriation.			ncy's budget.	
Cocate New Appropriation				☐ Yes	□ No	
No Local Government Costs Indeterminate	_		Existing Revenues	☐ Decrease (Costs	
Permissive Mandatory A. Decrease Revenues Counties Counties Counties Counties Decrease Revenues Counties Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Recommendation Permissive Mandatory Recommendation Permissive Mandatory Methods Me		i <u>—</u>		1		
2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Counties Others Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Counties Mandatory Mandatory School Districts Mandatory Man		_ _	_			
Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate Currently, each license which authorizes archery deer hunting includes one carcass tag that is valid for taking an antlered by any management unit and one tag which is valid for one antlerless deer in any management unit. This proposal would limit areas where the antlerless carcass tag is valid to only management units for which an antlerless deer harvest quota has been established and CWD units. Under current rules, firearm season hunters are not allowed to harvest antlerless deer in zero quota units but archery hunters Eliminating archery hunter's ability to harvest antlerless deer in units for which no antlerless quota has been established will make regulations more consistent between the firearm and archery seasons. In deer management units that are below their overwinter population goals, reduced antlerless harvest will help to achieve overwinter goals by allowing additional populat growth. This proposed rule does not create license types or new license issuance procedures. It will require updating printed instruct on carcass tags which can be done when annual updates are made and will result in no fiscal impact. It is not anticipated the change will have an impact on license sales because the harvest of antlered bucks is still allowed in all units statewide. The ability to harvest an antlered deer is, for most hunters, the primary feature of the archery deer hunting license. Additionally, harvest of antlerless deer will still be allowed in most units. In 2009 there were 13 units for which no antlerless harvest quota was established out of a total 144 units including state par leading to the procedure. Telephone No. Agency Department of Natural Resources	_	· _	•		_	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate Currently, each license which authorizes archery deer hunting includes one carcass tag that is valid for taking an antered by any management unit and one tag which is valid for one anterless deer in any management unit. This proposal would limit areas where the anterless carcass tag is valid to only management units for which an anterless deer harvest quota has been established and CWD units. Under current rules, firearm season hunters are not allowed to harvest anterless deer in zero quota units but archery hunters Eliminating archery hunter's ability to harvest anterless deer in units for which no anterless quota has been established will make regulations more consistent between the firearm and archery seasons. In deer management units that are below their overwinter population goals, reduced anterless harvest will help to achieve overwinter goals by allowing additional populat growth. This proposed rule does not create license types or new license issuance procedures. It will require updating printed instruct on carcass tags which can be done when annual updates are made and will result in no fiscal impact. It is not anticipated the change will have an impact on license sales because the harvest of antered bucks is still allowed in all units statewide. The ability to harvest an antered deer is, for most hunters, the primary feature of the archery deer hunting license. Additionally, harvest of anterless deer will still be allowed in most units. In 2009 there were 13 units for which no anterless harvest quota was established out of a total 144 units including state par long-reparted by: Telephone No. Agency Department of Natural Resources	☐ Permissive ☐	☐ Mandatory ☐ Perm	nissive			
Currently, each license which authorizes archery deer hunting includes one carcass tag that is valid for taking an anthered by any management unit and one tag which is valid for one antherless deer in any management unit. This proposal would limit areas where the antherless carcass tag is valid to only management units for which an antherless deer harvest quota has been established and CWD units. Under current rules, firearm season hunters are not allowed to harvest antherless deer in zero quota units but archery hunters Eliminating archery hunter's ability to harvest antherless deer in units for which no antherless quota has been established will make regulations more consistent between the firearm and archery seasons. In deer management units that are below their overwinter population goals, reduced antherless harvest will help to achieve overwinter goals by allowing additional populat growth. This proposed rule does not create license types or new license issuance procedures. It will require updating printed instruct on carcass tags which can be done when annual updates are made and will result in no fiscal impact. It is not anticipated the change will have an impact on license sales because the harvest of anthered bucks is still allowed in all units statewide. The ability to harvest an anthered deer is, for most hunters, the primary feature of the archery deer hunting license. Additionally, harvest of antherless deer will still be allowed in most units. In 2009 there were 13 units for which no antherless harvest quota was established out of a total 144 units including state par long-repared By: Telephone No. Agency Department of Natural Resources			_	Affected Cha	pter 20 Appropriations	
Currently, each license which authorizes archery deer hunting includes one carcass tag that is valid for taking an anthered by any management unit and one tag which is valid for one antherless deer in any management unit. This proposal would limit areas where the antherless carcass tag is valid to only management units for which an antherless deer harvest quota has been established and CWD units. Under current rules, firearm season hunters are not allowed to harvest antherless deer in zero quota units but archery hunters Eliminating archery hunter's ability to harvest antherless deer in units for which no antherless quota has been established will make regulations more consistent between the firearm and archery seasons. In deer management units that are below their overwinter population goals, reduced antherless harvest will help to achieve overwinter goals by allowing additional populat growth. This proposed rule does not create license types or new license issuance procedures. It will require updating printed instruct on carcass tags which can be done when annual updates are made and will result in no fiscal impact. It is not anticipated the change will have an impact on license sales because the harvest of anthered bucks is still allowed in all units statewide. The ability to harvest an anthered deer is, for most hunters, the primary feature of the archery deer hunting license. Additionally, harvest of antherless deer will still be allowed in most units. In 2009 there were 13 units for which no antherless harvest quota was established out of a total 144 units including state par long-repared By: Telephone No. Agency Department of Natural Resources			□ SEG-S			
any management unit and one tag which is valid for one antlerless deer in any management unit. This proposal would limit areas where the antlerless carcass tag is valid to only management units for which an antlerless deer harvest quota has been established and CWD units. Under current rules, firearm season hunters are not allowed to harvest antlerless deer in zero quota units but archery hunters Eliminating archery hunter's ability to harvest antlerless deer in units for which no antlerless quota has been established will make regulations more consistent between the firearm and archery seasons. In deer management units that are below their overwinter population goals, reduced antlerless harvest will help to achieve overwinter goals by allowing additional populat growth. This proposed rule does not create license types or new license issuance procedures. It will require updating printed instruct on carcass tags which can be done when annual updates are made and will result in no fiscal impact. It is not anticipated the change will have an impact on license sales because the harvest of antlered bucks is still allowed in all units statewide. The ability to harvest an antlered deer is, for most hunters, the primary feature of the archery deer hunting license. Additionally, harvest of antlerless deer will still be allowed in most units. In 2009 there were 13 units for which no antlerless harvest quota was established out of a total 144 units including state participated by: Telephone No. Agency One Polasek Telephone No. Agency Department of Natural Resources	•	•				
on carcass tags which can be done when annual updates are made and will result in no fiscal impact. It is not anticipated the change will have an impact on license sales because the harvest of antlered bucks is still allowed in all units statewide. The ability to harvest an antlered deer is, for most hunters, the primary feature of the archery deer hunting license. Additionally, harvest of antlerless deer will still be allowed in most units. In 2009 there were 13 units for which no antlerless harvest quota was established out of a total 144 units including state parallel	Under current rules, Eliminating archery make regulations moverwinter population growth.	D units. firearm season hunters are not a hunter's ability to harvest antierlore consistent between the firear on goals, reduced antierless harv	allowed to harvest antle less deer in units for w rm and archery seasons rest will help to achieve	erless deer in zer hich no antlerles . In deer manag e overwinter goa	ro quota units but archery hunters may. ss quota has been established will gement units that are below their als by allowing additional population	
Long-Range Fiscal Implications Prepared By: Telephone No. Agency Tolephone No. Department of Natural Resources	on carcass tags whic change will have an ability to harvest an	th can be done when annual updatimpact on license sales because antlered deer is, for most hunter	ates are made and will the harvest of antlered rs, the primary feature	result in no fisca bucks is still al	al impact. It is not anticipated that this lowed in all units statewide. The	
Prepared By: Telephone No. Agency Joe Polasek 266-2794 Department of Natural Resources	In 2009 there were 1	13 units for which no antlerless h	narvest quota was estab	blished out of a t	otal 144 units including state parks.	
Prepared By: Telephone No. Agency Joe Polasek 266-2794 Department of Natural Resources						
Prepared By: Telephone No. Agency Joe Polasek 266-2794 Department of Natural Resources						
foe Polasek 266-2794 Department of Natural Resources	_ong-Range Fiscal Imp	plications				
Toe Polasek 266-2794 Department of Natural Resources						
Toe Polasek 266-2794 Department of Natural Resources				1		
	Prepared By:		Telephone No.	Agenc	у	
Authorized Signature Telephone No. Date (mm/dd/ccyy)	oe Polasek		266-2794	Depart	ment of Natural Resources	
	Authorized Signature		Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)	

266-2794

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2048 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

Page 2 Assumptions Narrative Continued

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number WM-15-10

 $Assumptions\ Used\ in\ Arriving\ at\ Fiscal\ Estimate-Continued$

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance DOA-2047 (R10/2000)

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2009 Session Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☐ Original ☐ Updated	LRB Numb	er	Ar	Amendment Number if Applicable		
☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental	Bill Number		Ad	Administrative Rule Number		
			WM-15-10	VM-15-10		
Subject						
Use of archery deer hunting licenses and carcass tag	gs.					
One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/o	or Local Gove	ernment (do	not include in	annualized fiscal effec	:t):	
None						
Annualized Costs:		Annuali	zed Fiscal Im	pact on State Funds fr	om:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increa	ased Costs	Decreased Co	Decreased Costs		
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes		\$		\$ -		
(FTE Position Changes)		(FT	E) (-	FTE)	
State Operations — Other Costs			-			
Local Assistance			-			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-			
Total State Costs by Category	\$		\$ -	\$ -		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs		Decreased Co	Decreased Costs	
GPR		\$		\$ -		
FED				-		
PRO/PRS				-		
SEG/SEG-S				-		
State Revenues Complete this only when increase or decrease state re	Increased Revenue		Decreased Revenue			
dax increase, decrease in licer	\$		\$ -			
GPR Earned				-		
FED				-		
PRO/PRS				-		
SEG/SEG-S		0 -				
Total State Revenues	\$	0	\$ -			
Net Ar	nnualized Fi	scal Impact				
		<u>State</u>		Local		
Net Change in Costs \$		\$				
Net Change in Revenues	\$	0		\$ 0		
Prepared By:	Telephone	No.	Agency	Agency		
Joe Polasek	266-2794	Departi		ment of Natural Resources		
Authorized Signature	Telephone	No. Date (n/dd/ccyy)		
	266-2794					