Clearinghouse Rule 10-035

ORDER OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN NATURAL RESOURCES BOARD AMENDING, REPEALING AND RECREATING AND CREATING RULES

The Wisconsin Natural Resources Board proposes an order to **amend** ch. NR 217 (title), NR 217.01, 217.02 and 217.03; to **repeal and recreate** NR 102.06; and to **create** NR 217 subchs. I (title), II (title), and III (title), NR 217.10, 217.11, 217.12, 217.13, 217.14, 217.15, 217.16, 217.17, 217.18 and 217.19 relating to phosphorus water quality standards criteria and limitations and effluent standards.

WT-25-08

Analysis Prepared by Department of Natural Resources

- **1. Statutes Interpreted:** Sections 281.15, 283.11, 283.13(5), 283.31, 283.55, 283.84
- **2. Statutory Authority:** Sections 227.11(2)(a), 281.15, 283.001(2), 283.13(5), 283.15, 283.31, 283.35, 283.37
- **3. Explanation of agency authority:** Section 227.11(2)(a), Stats., expressly confers rulemaking authority on the department to promulgate rules interpreting any statute enforced or administered by it, if the agency considers it necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute. The department considers the proposed rules necessary to implement the pollution abatement permit program established in chapter 283, Stats. The phosphorus water quality standard included in the proposed rules is required pursuant to s. 281.15, Stats., which directs the department to promulgate water quality standards for state waters. Section 283.13 (5), Stats., gives the department the authority to establish water quality based effluent limitations based on applicable water quality standards and to require compliance with those limitations consistent with a schedule of compliance or state or federal law. Section 283.15, Stats., provides authority to establish rules for variances to water quality standards, s. 283.31, Stats., provides authority to establish permit terms and conditions for water pollutant discharge elimination system permits, and s. 283.37, Stats., gives the department authority to require the submittal of information as part of a permit application.
- **4. Related statute or rule:** s. 283.11(3)(am), chapters NR 106 and 200

5. Plain language analysis:

The proposed rule has two parts. The first is a set of phosphorus water quality standards criteria for rivers, streams, various types of lakes, reservoirs and Great Lakes. The second is procedures for determining and incorporating phosphorus water quality based effluent limitations into Wisconsin Discharge Pollutant Elimination System (WPDES) permits under chapter 283, Stats. Pursuant to 40 CFR 131.11, states are required to adopt water quality standards criteria that are protective of the designated uses of surface waters. Pursuant to section 303(c)(4) of the Clean Water Act, EPA may step in and promulgate the criteria for the state, if the state does not. Development of point source permit procedures is required as part of the state's point source permit delegation agreement. EPA approval of state water quality criteria is required under 40 CFR ss. 131.5, 131.6 and 131.21.

Phosphorus Water Quality Standards Criteria

The proposed rule establishes phosphorus water quality criteria of 100 ug/l (parts per billion) for rivers specifically identified in the rule and of 75 ug/l for smaller streams and rivers. No criteria are proposed at this time for ephemeral streams or streams identified in ch. NR 104, Wis. Adm. Code as limited aquatic life waters. Both of the criteria are intended to prevent in-stream algae and other plant growth to the extent that is detrimental to fish and aquatic life. For example, extensive algae or macrophyte (large plants growing on the beds of streams) consume oxygen during the night to the extent that may leave too little oxygen for certain fish species and for certain aquatic insects. About half of Wisconsin's rivers and streams meet the proposed criteria.

For lakes and reservoirs, the proposed rule has a suite of criteria for five different types of lake ranging from 15 ug/l for lakes supporting a coldwater fishery, such as lake trout or cisco in its bottom waters, to 40 ug/l for shallow drainage lakes and reservoirs. The criteria are intended to prevent or minimize nuisance algal blooms; prevent shifts in plant species in shallow lakes; maintain adequate dissolved oxygen in the bottom of "two-story" lakes with a warmwater fishery in top waters and coldwater fisheries in bottom waters; and to maintain fisheries. "Toxic" algae concerns may also be addressed. For millponds and similar impoundments, the upstream river or stream criteria would apply. More than half of Wisconsin's lakes meet the proposed criteria with the percent varying by lake type. No criteria are proposed at this time for marsh lakes and other wetlands since they will be part of future wetlands nutrient criteria adoption.

For the Great Lakes, phosphorus criteria are proposed for the open waters of Lake Superior (5 ug/l), the open waters of Lake Michigan (7 ug/l) and the nearshore waters of Lake Michigan (7 ug/l). Presently, for the open waters both Lake Michigan and Lake Superior are meeting the criteria. For the nearshore waters of Lake Michigan, the zone from the beaches to a depth of 10 meters, where there are concerns with the Cladophora algal mats forming on beaches, the criteria may be exceeded in some locations.

Below is a table showing the proposed phosphorus water quality standards criteria by type of water body. The specific water body types are defined in the proposed rules, and there are some exclusions based on size or flow conditions.

Proposed Phosphorus Criteria by Type of Water Body	Total Phosphorus in ug/l
Listed rivers	100
All other streams	75
Stratified reservoirs	30
Non-stratified reservoirs	40
Stratified "two-story" fishery lakes	15
Stratified drainage lakes	30
Non-stratified (shallow) drainage lakes	40
Stratified seepage lakes	20
Non-stratified (shallow) lakes	40

Impoundments	Same as inflowing river or stream
Lake Michigan open and nearshore waters	7
Lake Superior open and nearshore waters	5

WPDES Effluent Standards and Limitations

The current regulations for phosphorus establish specific procedures for including technology based limitations and standards in WPDES permits (existing chapter NR 217). There is also an existing rule (s. NR 102.06) that generally states the department may establish water quality based limits for phosphorus in permits on a case-by-case basis using an evaluation of phosphorus sources in a watershed, but this rule is being repealed and replaced with a proposed new subchapter in chapter NR 217 that includes detailed procedures for establishing water quality effluent limitations for phosphorus.

Specifically, there are provisions for determining when a water quality based effluent limitation is needed in a WPDES permit; equations and procedures for calculating effluent limits based on different types of waters and stream flow assumptions; and provisions for expressing permit compliance averaging periods, such as a monthly average. The rule requires concentration limits, as commonly used in permits. However, it also specifies where and how mass limits are required, such as for discharges to impaired waters, where there is a downstream lake and where there is a downstream outstanding or exceptional resource water. The rule also addresses the relationship and procedures for including a various types of phosphorus limits in permits such as a phosphorus limit based on a total maximum daily load, a technology based phosphorus limit and a water quality based phosphorus limit calculated under the new procedures in chapter NR 217.

The proposed rule allows the department to include compliance schedules in permits. The compliance schedule provisions specify factors the department may consider when establishing the length of a compliance schedule. One of the options for a compliance schedule provision for discharges to nonpoint source dominated waters includes an adaptive management option where interim limits may be phased in, if phosphorus concentrations improve in the receiving water.

There are also provisions for a streamlined approach for processing variances for stabilization pond and lagoon systems that mimic the procedures for ammonia variances in ch. NR 106. These special provisions are based on the knowledge that presently there are few means to control phosphorus being discharged from these systems and that the construction of a mechanical plant is not affordable for smaller municipalities. The inclusion of streamlined procedures for stabilization pond and lagoon systems should not be interpreted to mean that these are the only systems that may obtain a variance, where appropriate. There are standard procedures for variances in statutory language and other administrative codes.

6. Summary of, and comparison with, existing or proposed federal regulation:

The proposed phosphorus criteria for streams of 75 ug/l and rivers of 100 ug/l are similar to EPA's guidance values for the southern half of Wisconsin. EPA recommended 70 ug/l of phosphorus for both rivers and streams in the southwestern driftless area of the state and 80 ug/l of phosphorus for both rivers and streams in the remainder of the southern half of the state. EPA, did however, recommend a criterion of 29 ug/l for a band or area stretching west to east though the middle of the state and 10 ug/l for the forested northern part of the state. All of the EPA guidance numbers are

based on the 25th percentile of available data from a number of states and do not represent a cause-effect situation. We could not find concentrations as low at 10 ug/l even for pristine conditions in most of the forested northern portion of Wisconsin.

For lakes, the proposed criteria that range from 15 to 40 ug/l based on the type of lake are different than EPA's guidance values that range from 9.7 ug/l for northern lakes to 36 ug/l for driftless area lakes. EPA's guidance values are based on data from multiple states and represent the 25th percentile of available data. They do not differentiate based on the type of lake.

The proposed criteria for Lake Michigan and Lake Superior are the same as the values derived for the federal Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement.

The proposed WPDES permit procedures, including water quality based effluent limitations, are based on general EPA regulations and guidelines.

7. Comparison with similar rules in adjacent states:

All states, including adjacent states, are required by EPA to promulgate nutrient water quality standards criteria under EPA's Clean Water Act authority. In addition, all states delegated National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit authority by EPA, including all adjacent states, are required to issue point source permits that will meet water quality standards.

To date, Minnesota has promulgated phosphorus criteria for lakes which are very similar to what is proposed in this rule. Minnesota is now in the process of developing proposed criteria for rivers and streams. Illinois has had phosphorus criteria for lakes and Lake Michigan in its water quality standards for some years, but is in the process of developing phosphorus criteria for streams and rivers. Michigan and Iowa are developing criteria, but to date have not publicly proposed criteria. None of the adjacent states or Wisconsin has proposed criteria for nitrogen, except for ammonia.

All adjacent states have provisions for developing water quality based effluent limits, but none to date have proposed rules that specifically deal with the issues uniquely related to phosphorus.

8. Summary of factual data and analytical methodologies used and how any related findings support the regulatory approach chosen:

The proposed water quality standards phosphorus criteria for streams and rivers are based on results of a number of Wisconsin studies aimed at determining when biotic effects occur and how these effects relate to protection of designated uses. The primary studies were jointly conducted by department and USGS staff and their results are reported in "Nutrient Concentrations and Their Relations to the Biotic Integrity of Wadeable Streams in Wisconsin", USGS Professional Paper 1722, by Robertson, Graczyk, Garrison, Wang, LaLiberte and Bannerman, 2006; and "Nutrient Concentrations and Their Relations to the Biotic Integrity of Nonwadeable Rivers in Wisconsin", USGS Professional Paper 1754, by Robertson, Weigel and Graczyk, 2008. These studies identified a suite of breakpoints or thresholds for effects of phosphorus on algae, aquatic insects and fish. Based on discussions involving a number of experts in the scientific field, the department used an averaging method of the suite of breakpoints to derive the proposed criteria. These proposed

criteria were compared to Department studies of trout streams in southwestern Wisconsin, the early 1980's Department study of phosphorus in streams and studies cited in EPA's "Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual: Rivers and Streams", EPA-822-B-00-002, 2000.

The proposed water quality standards phosphorus criteria for lakes and reservoirs are based on methods commonly used for decades in lake management in Wisconsin and adjacent states. Specifically, for most types of lakes, the proposed criteria are based on limiting the risk of nuisance algae conditions (20 ug/l chlorophyll a) to no more than 5 percent of the time (e.g. less than one week per year from June though September) using work by Walmsley (Journal of Environmental Quality, 13:97-104, 1988) and Heiskary and Wilson ("Minnesota Lake Water Quality Assessment Report: Developing Nutrient Criteria", Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, September 2005). These concentrations were also determined to be sufficient to protect sport fisheries in lakes again using information from Heiskary and Wilson ("Minnesota Lake Water Quality Assessment Report: Developing Nutrient Criteria", Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, September 2005). For the relatively few lakes that support a cold water fishery in the lower waters, the department's objective was to maintain 6 mg/l for dissolved oxygen in the lower waters. To determine the appropriate phosphorus concentrations, the Department examined sediment cores and current water concentrations to determine undisturbed conditions. The proposed criteria were compared to literature information summarized in EPA's "Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual: Lakes and Reservoirs", EPA-822-B-00-001, 2000.

For development of the water quality based effluent limitation procedures for permits, the department reviewed existing state and federal regulations and guidance for the point source discharge permit programs, consulted with EPA representatives, and received input from a technical advisory committee that met several times in 2008 through 2009. The technical advisory committee was comprised of representatives of municipal and industrial wastewater dischargers, municipal storm water dischargers, agricultural interests, water user groups and environmental groups. Staff from EPA and USGS also attended committee meetings as advisories to the committee and the Department.

9. Analysis and supporting documents used to determine effect on small business

The Department initially identified cheese and other dairy operations that discharge wastewater containing phosphorus to lakes and streams as small businesses potentially impacted by the proposed rules. With the assistance of the Wisconsin Cheese Makers, 11 businesses were identified for analysis. All 11 are likely to have more than \$5 million in annual revenue, but may have less than 25 employees. Of the 11, six apply wastes to the land through a variety of methods. Some may discharge non-contact cooling water without adding additives, which would not come under this rule. The other six discharge their wastes to municipal wastewater treatment plants.

Based on this analysis, the Department concluded that there are few, if any, small businesses that directly discharge of wastewater containing phosphorus to lakes or streams. If there is an effect, it would likely be an indirect affect on those small businesses that discharge their wastes to a municipal wastewater treatment facility. If the municipal wastewater treatment plant is required to further remove phosphorus, it is possible that the service fee may increase or the municipality may require some level of pretreatment.

10. Effect on small business:

The department has determined the rule will not have a significant impact on small businesses. Most of the fiscal impacts from the proposed rules will affect municipalities and industries (with phosphorus discharges to surface waters) that aren't considered small businesses. The rule may have an effect on a few small businesses, but it is very difficult to estimate. As mentioned above, small cheese factories may be the best example. For those meeting the definition of a small business, many of the facilities land apply all or the majority of their wastewater, and therefore will not be impacted by these rules. If there are any businesses that discharge wastes directly to surface waters that meet the definition of a small business, they may apply for a variance if compliance with water quality based effluent limits for phosphorus would cause significant economic hardship. The proposed rules do not provide for less stringent reporting, longer compliance schedules or completed exemptions for small businesses with phosphorus discharges to surface waters because it would not be allowed under federal regulations or state statutes. There is, however, a variance procedure which is allowed under both state and federal law for all point sources that qualify. Reporting and record keeping requirements are established through permit terms and conditions.

11. Agency contact person:

Jim Baumann, P.O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707; telephone number 608/266-9277; e-mail address: james.baumann@wisconsin.gov.

12. Place where comments are to be submitted and deadline for submission:

Written comments may be submitted at the public hearings, by regular mail, fax or e-mail to:

Jim Baumann
Department of Natural Resources
Bureau of Watershed Management
PO Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707
Fax: 608/267-2800
james.baumann@wisconsin.gov.

Written comments may also be submitted to the Department using the Wisconsin Administrative Rules Internet Web site at http://adminrules.wisconsin.gov

Hearing dates and submission deadline are to be determined.

SECTION 1. NR 102.06 is repealed and recreated to read:

NR 102.06 Phosphorus. (1) GENERAL. This section identifies the water quality criteria for total phosphorus that shall be met in surface waters.

- (2) DEFINITIONS. The following definitions are established for purposes of this section:
- (a) "Ephemeral stream" means a channel or stream that only carries water for a few days during and after a rainfall or snowmelt event and does not exhibit a flow during other periods.
- (b) "Drainage lake" means a lake with an outlet stream that continually flows under average summer conditions based on the past 30 years.
- (c) "Hydraulic residence time" means the amount of time that a volume of water entering a waterbody will reside in that waterbody"
- (d) "Nearshore waters" means all waters of Lake Michigan or Lake Superior within the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin in the zone extending from the shore to a depth of 10 meters, based on the long-term mean elevation for Lake Superior of 183.4 meters (601.7 feet) and for Lake Michigan of 176.5 meters (579.0 feet).
- (e) "Open waters" mean all waters of Lake Michigan or Lake Superior within the jurisdiction of the State of Wisconsin with depths greater than nearshore waters.
- (f) "Reservoir" means a waterbody with a constructed outlet structure intended to impound water and raise the depth of the water by more than two times, and that has a mean water residence time of 14 days or more.
- (g) "Stratified lake or reservoir" means a lake or reservoir where either of the following equations results in a value of greater than 3.8:

Maximum Depth (in meters) -0.1

Log₁₀Lake Area (in hectares)

Maximum Depth * 0.305 (in feet) -0.1

Log₁₀Lake Area * 0.405 (in acres)

- (h) "Seepage lake" means a lake that does not have an outlet stream that continually flows under average summer conditions based on the past 30 years.
- (i) "Stratified two-story fishery lake" means a stratified lake which has supported a cold water fishery in its lower depths within the last 50 years.
- (j) "Total phosphorus" means all of the phosphorus in a water sample analyzed using the methods identified under the provisions of s. NR 219.04(1).
- (3) STREAMS AND RIVERS. To protect the fish and aquatic life uses established in s. NR 102.04(3) on rivers and streams that generally exhibit unidirectional flow, total phosphorus criteria are established as follows:
- (a) A total phosphorus criterion of 100 ug/L is established for the following rivers or other unidirectional flowing waters:
- 1. Apple River from the outlet of the Apple River Flowage in Amery to the St. Croix River, excluding Black Brook Flowage.
- 2. Bad River from confluence with the Marengo River within the Bad River Indian Reservation downstream to Lake Superior.
 - 3. Baraboo River from highway 58 in La Valle to the Wisconsin River.
 - 4. Bark River from confluence with Scuppernong River near Hebron to the Rock River.
- 5. Black River from confluence with Cunningham Creek near Neillsville to Mississippi River, excluding Lake Arbutus.

- 6. Brule River from state highway 55 in Forest County downstream to Menominee River.
- 7. Buffalo River from confluence with Harvey Creek near Mondovi to Mississippi River.
- 8. Chippewa River from Lake Chippewa in Sawyer County to Mississippi River, excluding Holcombe Flowage, Cornell Flowage, Old Abe Lake, Lake Wissota and Dells Pond.
 - 9. Crawfish River from confluence with Beaver Dam River to Rock River.
- 10. East Branch Pecatonica River from confluence with Apple Branch Creek near Argyle to Pecatonica River.
- 11. Eau Claire River from confluence with Bridge Creek near Augusta to Chippewa River, excluding Altoona Lake.
 - 12. Embarrass River from confluence with Pigeon River near Clintonville to Wolf River.
- 13. Flambeau River from outlet of Turtle-Flambeau Flowage in Iron County to Chippewa River, excluding Pixley Flowage, Crowley Flowage and Dairyland Flowage.
- 14. Fox River from outlet of Lake Puckaway near Princeton to Green Bay, excluding Lake Butte des Morts and Lake Winnebago.
- 15. Fox River from confluence with Mukwonago River near Mukwonago to state line, excluding Tichigan Lake.
- 16. Grant River from confluence with Rattlesnake Creek near Beetown to Mississippi River.
- 17. Jump River from confluence with the North Fork and the South Fork of the Jump River in Price County to Holcombe Flowage.
 - 18. Kickapoo River from confluence with Weister Creek near La Farge to Wisconsin River.
- 19. Kinnickinnic River from confluence with Wilson Park Creek in Milwaukee to Milwaukee River.

- 20. La Crosse River from confluence with Fish Creek near Bangor to Mississippi River, excluding Neshonoc Lake.
- 21. Lemonweir River from outlet of New Lisbon Lake in New Lisbon to Wisconsin River, excluding Decorah Lake.
- 22. Little Wolf River from confluence with South Branch Little Wolf River near Royalton to Wolf River.
- 23. Manitowoc River from confluence of North Branch and South Branch Manitowoc River to the opening at the end of the piers at Lake Michigan.
- 24. Menominee River from confluence with Brule River to the opening at the end of the piers at Green Bay.
 - 25. Menomonee River from confluence with Little Menomonee River to Milwaukee River.
- 26. Milwaukee River from confluence with Cedar Creek downstream to the openings of the breakwaters at Lake Michigan.
 - 27. Mississippi River main channels and side channels.
 - 28. Namekagon River from outlet of Trego Lake near Trego to St. Croix River.
- 29. Oconto River from confluence with Peshtigo Brook to the opening at the end of the piers at Green Bay.
 - 30. Pecatonica River from confluence with Vinegar Branch near Darlington to state line.
- 31. Pelican River from confluence with Slaughterhouse Creek near Rhinelander to Wisconsin River.
- 32. Peshtigo River from confluence with Brandywine Creek downstream to Green Bay, excluding Cauldron Falls Flowage and High Falls Flowage.

- 33. Pine River from confluence with Popple River in Florence County to Menominee River, excluding Pine River Flowage.
- 34. Red Cedar River from confluence with Brill River to Chippewa River, excluding Rice Lake, Tainter Lake and Lake Menomin.
- 35. Rock River from outlet of Sinissippi Lake downstream to the state line, excluding Lake Koshkonong.
- 36. St. Croix River from confluence with Namekagon River downstream to Mississippi River, excluding Lake St. Croix near Hudson.
- 37. St. Louis River from state line to the opening between Minnesota Point and Wisconsin Point at Lake Superior.
- 38. Sheboygan River from outlet of Sheboygan Marsh to the opening at the end of the piers at Lake Michigan.
 - 39. South Fork of Flambeau River from state highway 13 near Fifield to Flambeau River.
 - 40. Sugar River from outlet of Albany Lake to state line, excluding Decatur Lake.
 - 41. Tomahawk River from outlet of Willow Reservoir to Lake Nokomis.
- 42. Trempealeau River from confluence with Pigeon Creek near Whitehall to Mississippi River.
 - 43. White River from outlet of White River Flowage in Ashland County to Bad River.
- 44. Wisconsin River from confluence with Pelican River near Rhinelander to Mississippi River, excluding Lake Alice, Lake Mohawksin, Alexander Lake, Lake Wausau, Mosinee Flowage, Lake Dubay, Wisconsin River Flowage, Biron Flowage, Petenwell Flowage, Castle Rock Flowage and Lake Wisconsin.
 - 45. Wolf River from confluence with Hunting Creek in Langlade County to Lake Poygan.

- 46. Yahara River from outlet of Lake Kegonsa to Rock River.
- (b) Except as provided in sub. (7), all other surface waters generally exhibiting unidirectional flow that are not listed in par. (a) sub. (4) are considered streams and shall meet a total phosphorus criterion of 75 ug/L.
- (4) RESERVOIRS AND LAKES. To protect fish and aquatic life uses established in s. NR 102.04(3) and recreational uses established in s. NR 102.04(5) total phosphorus criteria are established for reservoirs and lakes, as follows:
- (a) For stratified reservoirs, total phosphorus criterion is 30 ug/l. For reservoirs that are not stratified, total phosphorus criterion is 40 ug/l.
- (b) All lakes and other surface waters that do not exhibit unidirectional flow shall meet the following water quality criteria:
 - 1. For stratified, two-story fishery lakes, 15 ug/l
 - 2. For lakes that are both drainage and stratified lakes, 30 ug/l.
 - 3. For lakes that are drainage lakes, but are not stratified lakes, 40 ug/l.
 - 4. For lakes that are both seepage and stratified lakes, 20 ug/l.
 - 5. For lakes that are seepage lakes, but are not stratified lakes, 40 ug/l.
- (c) Waters impounded on rivers or streams with a mean annual hydraulic residence time of less than 14 days based on the previous 30 years shall meet the river and stream criterion in sub (3) that applies to the primary stream or river entering the impounded water.
- (5) GREAT LAKES. To protect fish and aquatic life uses established in s. NR 102.04(3) and recreational uses established in s. NR 102.04(5) on the Great Lakes, total phosphorus criteria are established as follows:

- (a) For both open and nearshore waters of Lake Superior, 5 ug/l.
- (b) For both open and nearshore waters of Lake Michigan, excluding waters identified in par. (c), 7 ug/l.
- (c) For the portion of Green Bay from the mouth of the Fox River to a line from Long Tail Point to Point au Sable, the water clarity and other phosphorus-related conditions are suitable for support of a diverse biological community, including a robust and sustainable area of submersed aquatic vegetation in shallow water areas.
 - (6) EXCLUSIONS. The following waters are excluded from subs. (3)(b), (4) and (5):
 - (a) Ephemeral streams.
 - (b) Lakes and reservoirs of less than 5 acres in surface area.
 - (c) Wetlands, including bogs.
- (d) Waters identified as limited aquatic life waters in ch. NR 104. Limited aquatic life waters are those subject to the criteria in s. NR 104.02(3)(b)(2).
- (7) SITE-SPECIFIC CRITERIA. (a) *General*. A criterion contained within this section may be modified by rule for a particular surface water segment or body. A criterion may be modified if specific information is provided which shows that the data used to derive the criterion do not apply and if additional information is provided to derive a site—specific criterion. Site—specific criteria are intended to be applicable to a specific surface water segment. Criteria shall be modified for site—specific considerations in accordance with the procedure identified in s. NR 105.02(1).

Note: Reservoirs, two-story fishery lakes and water bodies with high natural background phosphorus concentrations are the most appropriate water bodies for site-specific criteria.

Note: When placing a water body on the 303(d) list as impaired for phosphorus, the Department considers factors such as frequency and duration of criterion exceedances, the time of year of the exceedance and the magnitude

of each exceedance above the applicable criterion. When deciding whether to include a water body on the 303(d) list, the Department may also choose to consider other factors such as concentration of suspended algae and floating plants, density of benthic algae, macrophyte density; minimum and daily change in dissolved oxygen levels; water clarity and natural background phosphorus concentrations.

SECTION 2. Chapter NR 217 (title) is amended to read:

CHAPTER NR 217

EFFLUENT STANDARDS AND LIMITATIONS FOR PHOSPHORUS

SECTION 3. NR 217 Subchapter I title to precede s. NR 217.01 is created to read: SUBCHAPTER I - GENERAL

SECTION 4. NR 217.01 is amended to read:

NR 217.01 Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to reduce the amount of <u>phosphorus</u> pollutants discharged to surface waters by establishing effluent standards and limitations, including water quality based effluent limitations, for <u>phosphorus</u> pollutants in effluent discharged to surface waters of the state. Effluent standards and limitations are <u>developed</u> adopted pursuant to ch. 283, Stats.

SECTION 5. NR 217 Subchapter II (title) to follow s. NR 217.01 is created to read: SUBCHAPTER II - PHOSPHORUS EFFLUENT STANDARD AND LIMITATIONS

SECTION 6. NR 217.02 is amended to read:

NR 217.02 Applicability. This <u>chapter subchapter</u> is applicable to point sources which discharge <u>wastewater</u> phosphorus to the surface waters of the state.

SECTION 7. NR 217.03 is amended to read:

NR 217.03 Definitions. Definitions of terms and the meaning of abbreviations used in this ehapter subchapter are as defined in chs. NR 102, 106, 205, 210 and 243. In addition: "effluent standard" means any requirement for a specific pollutant applicable to a category or class of point sources which are more stringent than the requirements under s. 283.13 (1) to (4), Stats. phosphorus established pursuant to s. 283.11(3), Stats., and this subchapter.

SECTION 8. NR 217 Subchapter III (title) to follow s. NR 217.04 is created to read:

SUBCHAPTER III - WATER QUALITY BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR

PHOSPHORUS

SECTION 9. NR 217.10 is created to read:

NR 217.10 Applicability. This subchapter applies to discharges of phosphorus to surface waters of the state from the following point sources:

- (1) Publicly and privately owned wastewater facilities or treatment works;
- (2) Noncontact cooling water discharges which contain phosphorus unless 100 percent of the phosphorus in the discharge originates from the receiving water;
- (3) Concentrated animal feeding operations that discharge manure or process wastewater from the production area through alternative treatment facilities under s. NR 243.13; and

(4) A facility or site that is regulated under ch. NR 216 only where the department has determined that compliance with the standards in ch. 151 and 216 are not sufficient to meet phosphorus criteria in s. NR 102.06.

SECTION 10. NR 217.11 is created to read:

NR 217.11 Definitions. Definitions of terms and the meaning of abbreviations used in this subchapter are as defined in chs. NR 102, 106, 205, 210 and 243. In addition, for purposes of this subchapter, the following definitions apply:

- (1) "303(d) list" means a list of waters established by the department and approved by EPA pursuant to 33 USC 1313(d)(1)(A) and 40 CFR 130.7.
- (2) "New Source" means a point source which was not authorized by a WPDES permit as of the effective date of this rule {revisor insert date}. A new source includes a relocation of an outfall to a different receiving water.
- (3) "Phosphorus impaired water" means a surface water listed on the 303(d) list that is impaired for phosphorus, nutrients or dissolved oxygen.

Note: A surface water may be impaired and placed on the 303(d) list for a reason other than phosphorus, nutrients or dissolved oxygen (e.g. mercury), however the procedures in this subchapter only apply to impairments related to phosphorus, nutrients or dissolved oxygen.

- (4) "Technology based limitation" means an effluent limitation for phosphorus established pursuant to s. 283.11(3), Stats., and subch. II or s. 283.13(2) or (4), Stats.
- (5) "Total Maximum Daily Load" or "TMDL" means the amount of pollutants specified as a function of one or more water quality parameters that can be discharged into a water quality limited segment and still ensure attainment of the applicable water quality standard in a watershed.

SECTION 11. NR 217.12 is created to read:

NR 217.12 General. (1) Water quality based effluent limitations for phosphorus shall be included in a permit whenever the department determines:

- (a) The discharge from a point source contains phosphorus at concentrations or loadings which will exceed the criteria in s. NR 102.06 in either the receiving water or downstream waters; and
- (b) The technology based effluent limitation or the alternative treatment technology limitation calculated under s. NR 243.13 is less stringent than necessary to achieve the applicable water quality standard for phosphorus in s. NR 102.06.
- (2) If the technology based limitation expressed as a concentration is more stringent than the water quality based effluent limitation expressed as a concentration under ss. NR 217.13, then the technology based limit shall be included in the permit, along with any mass limitations calculated under this subchapter as required under ss. NR 217.14(1) and (3).

SECTION 12. NR 217.13 is created to read:

NR 217.13 Calculation of water quality based effluent limitations for phosphorus. (1) BASIS FOR LIMITATIONS. (a) The department shall calculate potential water quality based effluent limitations for point source dischargers of phosphorus using the procedures in this section.

(b) Water quality based effluent limitations for phosphorus shall be calculated based on the applicable phosphorus criteria in s. NR 102.06 at the point of discharge except the Department may calculate the limitation based on more stringent downstream water quality criteria in s. NR102.06 if the Department determines the discharge will affect the downstream water. To determine whether a

discharge will affect a downstream water, the Department shall consider all relevant information available, including the following factors:

- 1. Distance of the outfall to the downstream water;
- 2. Amount of phosphorus discharged compared to the flow of the receiving water;
- 3. Presence of an impoundment or other natural or artificial feature which would impede the movement of phosphorus downstream; and
- 4. Presence of floodplains, wetlands and similar physical features where phosphorus may be retained.
- (2) DISCHARGES TO STREAMS AND RIVERS. (a) *Limitation calculation*. For discharges of phosphorus to flowing streams and rivers, the water quality based effluent limitation shall be calculated using the following conservation of mass equation:

Limitation =
$$[(WQC) (Qs+(1-f)Qe) - (Qs-fQe) (Cs)]/Qe$$

Where:

Limitation = Water quality based effluent limitation (in units of mass per unit of volume),

WQC = The water quality criterion concentration (in units of mass per unit volume) from ss. NR 102.06

Qs = Receiving water design flow (in units of volume per unit time) as specified in par. (b)

Qe = Effluent flow (in units of volume per unit time) as specified in par. (c)

- f = Fraction of the effluent flow that is withdrawn from the receiving water, and
- Cs = Upstream concentration (in units of mass per unit volume) as specified in par. (d).
- (b) Receiving water design flow (Qs). Based on the availability of information and the professional judgment of the department, the value of Q_s to be used in calculating the effluent limitation for discharges to flowing waters shall be determined using one of the following:
- 1. The average minimum 7-day flow which occurs once every 2 years $(7\text{-day }Q_2)$ as determined by the U. S. Geological Survey using data from a gauging station with a period of record of at least 10 years.
- 2. If provided by the permittee and approved by the department, the average low 30-day flow which occurs once every 3 years (30-day Q₃) as determined by the U. S. Geological Survey using data from a gauging station with a period of record of at least 10 years.
 - 3. Other flow deemed more representative flow conditions and approved by the department.
- (c) Effluent flows (Q_e). 1. For dischargers subject to ch. NR 210 and which discharge for 24 hours per day on a year-round basis, Q_e shall equal the maximum effluent flow, expressed as a daily average, that is anticipated to occur for 12 continuous months during the design life of the treatment facility unless it is demonstrated to the department that this design flow rate is not representative of projected flows at the facility.
- 2. For other dischargers not subject to ch. NR 210, Qe shall equal one of the following based on the best professional judgment of the department:
- a. The maximum effluent flow, expressed as a 365 day rolling average of daily discharges, that has occurred for 12 continuous months and represents normal operations.

- b. The maximum effluent flow, expressed as a 30 day rolling average, which has occurred for 30 continuous days and represents normal operations.
- 3. For seasonal discharges, discharges proportional to stream flow, or other non-continuous discharge situations, Q_e shall be determined on a case by case basis.
- (d) Upstream concentrations (C_s). The representative upstream concentration of phosphorus shall be used in specific water quality based effluent limit calculations. At a minimum, the representative upstream concentration shall be either a concentration derived by the department based on data from the specific stream or from a similar location. Where data is collected on the specific upstream location, the concentration used shall equal the median of at least four samples collected throughout the period of May through October. All samples collected during a 28-day period shall be considered as a single sample and the average of the concentrations used. Where data is available from more than one year in the last five years, the department may use all of the years of data in the calculation of the upstream concentration. Upstream concentrations may not be measured at a location within the direct influence of a point source discharge. The determination of upstream concentrations shall be evaluated at each permit reissuance.

Note: The department has guidance on collection methods for ambient water sampling and may develop guidance of the evaluation of representative data. These methods should be followed.

(3) DISCHARGES TO INLAND LAKES AND RESERVOIRS. For discharges of phosphorus to inland lakes, reservoirs and other receiving waters which do not exhibit a unidirectional flow at the point of discharge, the department will set the effluent limit equal to the criterion for the receiving water or the downstream water.

Note: As described in s. NR 217.16, effluent limitations for discharges to lakes may also be based on the wasteload allocation of a total maximum daily load, where the total maximum daily load has been approved by US EPA.

- (4) DISCHARGES DIRECTLY TO GREAT LAKES. For discharges directly to the Great Lakes, the department shall set effluent limits consistent with nearshore or whole lake model results approved by the department. Prior to the availability of such model results, the department may set interim effluent limits based on the best readily available phosphorus removal technology commonly used in Wisconsin.
- (5) OTHER METHODS OF LIMIT CALCULATION. The department may use other models and equations for calculating a water quality based effluent limitation if, in the best professional judgment of the department, the model provides a more accurate representation of the conditions.
- (6) MULTIPLE DISCHARGES. (a) Except as provided in par. (b), whenever the department determines that more than one discharge may be affecting the water quality of the same receiving water, the resultant combined allowable load shall be divided among the various discharges using an allocation method based on site-specific considerations. Whenever the department makes a determination under this section, the department shall notify all permittees who may be affecting the water quality of the same receiving water of the determination and any limitations developed under this section. Permittees shall be given the opportunity to comment to the department on any determination made under this section.
- (b) This subsection does not apply if there is an EPA approved TMDL for phosphorus for the receiving water. If there is an EPA approved TMDL, the combined allowable load shall be divided in accordance with the approved TMDL.
- (7) MINIMUM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS. If the water quality based effluent limitation calculated pursuant to the procedures in this section is less than the phosphorus criterion specified in s. NR 102.06 for the water body, the effluent limit will be set to be equal to the criterion.

- (8) NEW SOURCES. If a new source is proposing a discharge of phosphorus to a receiving or downstream water that a phosphorus impaired water, the new source may not discharge phosphorus except as follows:
- (a) The new source discharge of phosphorus is allocated part of the reserve capacity in an EPA approved TMDL;
- (b) The new source can demonstrate the new discharge of phosphorus will improve water quality in the phosphorus impaired segment; or
- (c) The new source can demonstrate that the new phosphorus load will be offset through a phosphorus trade or other means with another discharge of phosphorus to the 303(d) listed water. The offset must be approved by the Department and must be implemented prior to discharge.

Note: S. 283.84, Stats., establishes requirements for pollutant trades.

SECTION 13. NR 217.14 is created to read:

NR 217.14 Expression of limitations. (1) GENERAL. (a) Water quality based effluent limitations, when required pursuant to s. NR 217.15 shall be expressed in a discharge permit as a concentration. A mass limit shall also be included in a permit for discharges of phosphorus to any of the following receiving or downstream waters:

- 1. A lake or reservoir;
- 2. An outstanding or exceptional resource water, as designated in ss. NR 102.10 and 102.11;
 - 3. A phosphorus impaired water; or
 - 4. A surface water that has an approved TMDL for phosphorus.
- (b) The department may establish mass limitations in permits for any other discharges of phosphorus if a concentration limit for phosphorus is included in the permit, and where an increase

in phosphorus load is likely to result in adverse effects on water quality in the receiving water or downstream water.

- (c) For discharges to lakes, the Department shall also include an annual mass limit for phosphorus in the permit.
- (d) If there is an EPA approved TMDL for the receiving water, the Department shall include a mass limit expressed in the manner consistent with the requirements of the TMDL. As provided in s. NR 217.16, this TMDL based mass limit may be included in the permit in addition to, or in lieu of the mass limit in par. (a).

Note: In accordance with s. 283.84, Wis. Stats., the Department may approve the use of phosphorus trading as a means for a point source to achieve compliance with the water quality based effluent limitation, including a TMDL based limitation. The trade shall be incorporated into the terms of the WPDES permit for the point source and must be approved by the Department prior to implementation. Any trade should consider a trading ratio, a margin of safety and must result in a water quality improvement. A trade may occur between two point sources or a point source and a nonpoint source or a combination thereof.

- (2) CONCENTRATION BASED LIMITATIONS. Concentration effluent limitations calculated under s. NR 217.13 shall be expressed as 30-day rolling averages in permits.
- (3) MASS BASED LIMITATIONS. Concentration effluent limitations as calculated under s. NR 217.13 shall be converted into mass effluent limitations using the effluent flow identified in s. NR 217.13 and an appropriate conversion factor, and expressed as a 30 day rolling average in the permit.

SECTION 14. NR 217.15 is created to read:

NR 217.15 Determination of necessity for water quality based effluent limitations for phosphorus. (1) (a) *General*. The department shall include a water quality based effluent limitation for phosphorus in a permit whenever the discharge from a point source contains

phosphorus at concentrations or loadings which will exceed the water quality standards in ch. NR 102 in either the receiving water or downstream waters. The department shall use the procedures in this section to make this determination.

- (b) *Permittees with existing phosphorus limitations*. If a permittee has a technology based phosphorus limitation in a permit that is less restrictive than a water quality based effluent limitation for phosphorus calculated pursuant s. NR 217.13, then the Department shall include the water quality based effluent limitation in the permit..
- (c) *Permittees without existing phosphorus limitations*. If a permittee discharges phosphorus, but does not have a technology based limitation for phosphorus in its permit, the Department shall use the procedures in this paragraph to determine whether a discharge has the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an exceedance of the phosphorus water quality criterion in s. NR 102.06 in the receiving or downstream waters, and whether to include a water quality based effluent limit for phosphorus in the WPDES permit.
- 1. Using at least 11 daily discharge concentrations of phosphorus, if the upper 99th percentile of the 30 day average discharge concentration of phosphorus exceeds the potential phosphorus limitation calculated under s. NR 217.13, then the water quality based effluent limitation for phosphorus shall be included in the WPDES permit. If the upper 99th percentile of the 30 day average discharge concentration of phosphorus is less than_the potential phosphorus limitation calculated under s. NR 217.13, then a water quality based effluent limitation for phosphorus is not required in the WPDES permit. The upper 99th percentile of available discharge concentrations shall be calculated pursuant to s. NR 106.04(5).

- 2. If 11 daily discharge concentrations of phosphorus are not available for a permittee, then a water quality based effluent limitation for phosphorus shall be included in the permit when the mean of available effluent concentrations is greater than one-fifth of the limit.
- (d) *Sampling*. Prior to permit reissuance, a permittee discharging any phosphorus shall collect effluent samples of phosphorus at a frequency specified by the Department in the permit application for reissuance.
- (e) New sources. The Department shall include a water quality based phosphorus limitation in a permit for a new source if the Department determines the new source will discharge phosphorus at concentrations or loadings which may cause or contribute to exceedances of the water quality criteria in s. NR 102.06 in either the receiving water or downstream waters. To estimate the amount of phosphorus discharged by a new source, the Department may consider projected discharge information from the permit applicant and phosphorus discharge information from similar sources.
- (2) If the Department determines a water quality based effluent limitation is not necessary in a permit based on the procedures in this section, the Department may still require monitoring for phosphorus discharges.

SECTION 15. NR 217.16 is created to read:

NR 217.16 Relationship of WQBELs and TMDL based limitations.

(1) In addition to a WQBEL calculated pursuant to s. NR 217.13, the department may calculate a water quality based effluent limitation for phosphorus based on an EPA approved TMDL. This TMDL based limitation may be included in a permit in addition to, or in lieu of, the water quality based limitation calculated under s. NR 217.13. When deciding whether to use a

TMDL based limit as a substitute for the limitation calculated under s. NR 217.13, the department shall consider the following factors:

- (a) The degree to which nonpoint sources contribute phosphorus to the impaired water;
- (b) Whether waters upstream of the impaired waters are meeting the phosphorus criteria; and
 - (c) Whether waters downstream of the impaired water are meeting the phosphorus criteria.
- (2) If the phosphorus limitation based on an approved TMDL is less stringent than the water quality based effluent limitation calculated in s. NR 217.13 and the department includes the TMDL based limitation for phosphorus in the WPDES permit in lieu of the limit calculated in s. NR 217.13, the TMDL based limit may remain in the permit for up to two permit terms to allow time for implementation of the TMDL, or the implementation period specified in the TMDL, whichever is less. The department may include a schedule of compliance to achieve a TMDL based limit if the department determines a schedule of compliance is necessary. If after two permit terms, the department determines the nonpoint source load allocation has not been substantially reduced, the department may impose the more stringent water quality based effluent limitation calculated under s. NR 217.13, or may include the TMDL based limitation for an additional permit term if the department determines there will be significant nonpoint source load reductions within the upcoming permit term. If the department decides to remove a TMDL based phosphorus limit from a permit and instead include a more stringent water quality based phosphorus limit in the permit calculated under s. NR 217.13, the department may provide a schedule of compliance for the more stringent limit if the department determines additional time is needed for the permittee to comply with the revised limit. Such schedules must require compliance as soon as possible, but in

no case no more than 5 years from the date that the permit is reissued or modified to include the revised effluent limitations.

Note: The TMDL based limitation may be less stringent than the water quality based effluent limitation calculated under s. NR 217.13 in cases where nonpoint sources are the significant phosphorus sources responsible for the impairment.

(2) If the phosphorus limitation based on an approved TMDL is more stringent that the water quality based effluent limitation calculated under ss. NR 217.13, the department shall include the more stringent TMDL based limitation in the WPDES permit.

SECTION 16. NR 217.17 is created to read:

NR 217.17 Schedules of Compliance. (1) GENERAL. (a) Except as provided in sub. (5), the department may provide a schedule of compliance for a water quality based phosphorus limitation in a WPDES permit, where based on available information the department finds that:

- 1. The schedule of compliance will lead to compliance with the water quality based effluent limitation as soon as possible; and
- 2. The schedule of compliance is appropriate and necessary because the permittee cannot immediately achieve compliance with the water quality based effluent limitation based on existing operation of its treatment system.
- (b) In determining whether a compliance schedule is appropriate and determining the length of the compliance schedule, the department shall consider all of the following factors:
- 1. Whether there is any need for modifications to the treatment facilities, operations or measures to meet the water quality based effluent limitation, and if so, how long it will take to implement the modifications. If the department determines that a permittee only needs to make

operational changes to achieve compliance with a limitation, the compliance schedule should be as brief as possible and only allow time for operational start-up adjustments.

- 2. How much time the discharger has already had to meet the water quality based effluent limitation or TMDL based limit under prior permits.
- 3. The extent to which discharger has made good faith efforts to comply with the water quality based effluent limitation and other requirements in prior permits, if applicable.
- 4. The extent to which the phosphorus removal process technologies have been developed and proven to be effective.
- (c) In determining whether a compliance schedule is appropriate and determining the length of the compliance schedule, the department may also consider any of the following factors:
- 1. Whether there is a need to acquire a substantial amount of property to accommodate the needed modifications:
- 2. Whether there is a need to develop an extensive financing plan and obtain financing of that plan; and
- 3. The likelihood that a TMDL will be developed and approved within the permit term and whether the wasteload allocation for the facility will likely be less stringent than a water quality based effluent limit calculated under s. NR 217.13.

Note: A compliance schedule may be provided for both a water quality based effluent limit for phosphorus calculated under s. NR 217.13 or a TMDL based limit for phosphorus.

(2) MAXIMUM COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE PERIOD. Except for situations where filtration or a similar phosphorus removal process is required or if the department includes a compliance schedule pursuant to sub. (4), any compliance schedule established by the department under sub. (1) may not exceed 7 years from the date a permit was first modified or reissued to include a water quality based phosphorus limit calculated under s. NR 217.13. Where compliance with the water

quality based phosphorus limit requires the construction of filtration or a similar phosphorus removal process, the department may grant a schedule of compliance not to exceed 9 years from the date that the permit is first reissued or modified to include effluent limitations developed under provisions of this subchapter. In cases where a compliance schedule extends beyond 5 years, the department may revise the schedule at reissuance or pursuant to a permit modification.

- (3) INTERIM LIMITATIONS. When granting a schedule of compliance, the department shall include, as conditions of the permit, interim limitations that will lead to the compliance with the water quality based effluent limitation. Interim limitations may include a sequence of actions or operations as well as interim numerical effluent limitations. The sequence of actions or operations may include, as appropriate, but are not limited to:
- (a) Development and implementation of a phosphorus discharge optimization plan for the current operation.
- (b) Implementation of an upstream and downstream receiving water phosphorus monitoring plan sufficient to better develop water quality based effluent limitations.
- (c) Development and implementation of a local pollutant trading program that applies to the receiving water, if proposed by the permittee.
- (d) Interim effluent limitations representing good management and operation for similar treatment processes based on performance of wastewater treatment facilities.
- (4) ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT OPTION. (a) If requested by the permittee in the permit application for reissuance, the department may provide a schedule of compliance using adaptive management approaches as interim limits in a compliance schedule where the department finds all of the following:

- 1. The exceedance of the phosphorus criterion in s. NR 102.06 is caused by pollutant contributions from both point sources and nonpoint sources and that the nonpoint source contribution is at least 50 percent.
- 2. Without further control of phosphorus from nonpoint sources, the permittee would need to install and operate expensive technology to meet the effluent limitations and that, with the additional nonpoint source control, the expensive technology would not likely be needed.
- 3. The department determines that the phosphorus criterion in s. NR 102. 06 for the receiving water is not likely to be met without the control of phosphorus from nonpoint sources.
- (b) In making a finding under par. (a), the department may use information provided by the permittee or any other relevant information.
 - (c) The schedule of compliance under this option shall include all of the following:
- 1. A requirement to monitor the receiving water at locations and at times established in the permit.
- 2. Development and implementation of a plan to optimize the treatment system to control phosphorus.
 - 3. Installation and operation of treatment equipment that is readily affordable.
 - 4. Interim numerical effluent limitations as follows:
- a. A phosphorus limit under s. NR 217.04 shall be included in the permit and shall require a monthly average compliance periods as required under subchap.II. In addition, an initial interim limitation of no higher than 0.6 mg/l of total phosphorus expressed as a seasonal average over the period of May 1st through October 31st, shall be included in the permit. The department may allow the permittee a compliance schedule, not to exceed 5 years to meet this limitation, if necessary.

b. In the next permit term, a more stringent interim limitation of no higher than 0.5 mg/l shall be established where the monitoring data of the receiving water has shown that the phosphorus water quality criterion in s. NR 102.06 has not been met by the end of the term of prior permit.

c. In the third permit term, the department may impose a phosphorus limitation calculated under ss. NR 217.13 and allow a maximum compliance schedule of 5 years to achieve that limitation, if the monitoring data collected for the receiving water shows the criterion has not been achieved.

(5) NEW SOURCES. Any new source may not receive a compliance schedule to achieve compliance with a phosphorus water quality based effluent limitation.

SECTION 17. NR 217.18 is created to read:

NR 217.18 Variances for stabilization ponds and lagoon systems. (1) GENERAL.

(a) Applicability. Based on the findings in par. (b), an owner or operator of a permitted wastewater treatment system that consists primarily of a permitted stabilization pond system or a lagoon system may apply for a variance to the phosphorus water quality based effluent limitations using the procedures in this section. The department may only grant a variance under this section to phosphorus water quality based effluent limitations for stabilization pond and lagoon systems regulated under ch. NR 210.

Note: The variance procedures in this section are not applicable to industrial facilities.

- (b) *Findings*. As of [insert effective date of this rule], the department finds all of the following:
- 1. Stabilization ponds and lagoons subject to ch. NR 210 are operated primarily by communities that serve a population of 2000 or less.

- 2. Most stabilization pond and lagoon facilities cannot meet the water quality based effluent limitations for phosphorus derived under this subchapter.
- 3. In many cases, under currently available technology, it will be necessary for owners of the systems in subd. 1. to construct a new wastewater treatment plant to comply with phosphorus effluent limitations. Construction of new wastewater treatment facilities for these permittees will result in substantial and widespread adverse social and economic impacts in the area served by the existing stabilization pond and lagoon system.
- (c) *Initial variance*. The procedures in this section may be used when a water quality based phosphorus limit is required under this subchapter for this first time in a WPDES permit reissued or modified after [revisor insert effective date].
- (d) *New sources*. A new source may not receive approval for a variance under this section or pursuant to any other variance procedure.
- (2) APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE. (a) The application for a variance under this section shall be submitted with the WPDES permit application for reissuance, or within 30 days after the permittee receives written notification of the proposed phosphorus limits, if the notification occurs later. The application shall be submitted on the form available from the department.
 - (b) The application shall, at a minimum, include the following information:
 - 1. Information required by s. NR 200.22 (1) (a), (b) and (d).
- 2. Any phosphorus monitoring data for the applicant's system collected during the permit term in effect at the time the application is filed. The permittee shall specify the sample location, sample types and dates, analysis dates, lab name and certification number.
 - 3. A statement that the permittee is seeking a variance pursuant to this section.

- 4. Information on the number of lagoon or pond treatment cells, treatment process, discharge periods, retention times, population served, influent flow, and available capacity for holding wastewater.
- 5. Other information requested by the department that is relevant to the review conducted under sub. (3).

Note: It is recommended that the permittee ask for calculation of potential phosphorus water quality based limits at least 12 months prior to permit expiration. This information will help the permittee complete their variance request portion of the permit application which is due 180 days prior to permit expiration.

- (3) DEPARTMENT REVIEW. (a) The department shall review the submitted application for the variance and determine whether the permittee's system can meet the phosphorus effluent limitations calculated pursuant to s. NR 217. 13. To make this determination, the department shall compare the calculated phosphorus effluent limitations to the phosphorus effluent data submitted under sub.

 (2). If the applicant does not have phosphorus discharge data for its system, the department shall use effluent data from a similar lagoon or pond system in the state to make the comparison. Any valid, representative effluent data which exceeds a calculated limitation may be grounds for the department to determine that the existing system cannot meet the calculated phosphorus limitations. The department may apply statistical methodologies to make its determination on the ability of the system to meet phosphorus limitations.
- (b) The department's decision to approve or deny a variance under this section shall be made on or before the date of the s. 283.53 (3) (d), Stats., public notice for the proposed permit reissuance and shall be made in accordance with the following:
- 1. If the department determines that the permittee's system cannot meet a phosphorus effluent limitation, the department shall approve the variance. If the variance is approved, the

department shall specify in the permit that the variance has been granted for phosphorus, and the requirements in sub. (4) shall also be included in the permit.

- 2. If the department determines that the applicant's existing system can meet the phosphorus effluent limitations or that effluent limitations are not necessary as determined by s. NR 217.15, the department shall deny the variance and notify the applicant of this determination in writing.
- (c) A permittee with a lagoon and stabilization pond that is denied a variance under the procedures of this section may not be granted a variance for phosphorus based on the criteria in s. 283.15(4)(a)1.f., Stats., and using the procedures in ch. NR 200 and s. 283.15, Stats.
- (d) A permittee may seek a variance from a phosphorus limit in a reissued WPDES permit based on the criteria in s. 283.15(4)(a)1.a. to e., Stats, and using the procedures and requirements in s. 283.15, Stats., and ch. NR 200.
- (4) PERMIT TERMS IF VARIANCE IS APPROVED. If the department approves a variance to the phosphorus effluent limitations under this section, the following requirements shall be included in the reissued permit:
 - (a) The permittee shall conduct weekly monitoring of phosphorus during discharge periods.
- (b) The permittee shall, to the extent practicable, identify and minimize the non-domestic sources of phosphorus to the system and operate the treatment system to minimize exceedances of the calculated limits.
- (c) Within 36 months following permit reissuance, the permittee shall submit an operational evaluation report that evaluates the ability of the existing system to meet the phosphorus effluent limitations calculated under s. NR 217.13. The report shall evaluate the results of efforts to reduce non-domestic sources of phosphorus under par. (b) as well as the holding capacity of the system and the results of operational changes and other minor system modifications that are designed to

reduce phosphorus discharges levels. Based on the information in the operational evaluation report, the department shall make one of the following determinations:

- 1. If the department determines the system can consistently meet the phosphorus effluent limitations calculated under s. NR 217.13 with operational adjustments, these phosphorus effluent limitations shall become effective within 30 days of the department's determination, and the permittee is not required to submit a facilities plan under subd.3. When making this determination, the department shall consider weather conditions and wastewater loading during the operational evaluation period, relationship of current to design conditions and other pertinent site-specific factors.
- 2. If the department determines the system cannot consistently meet the phosphorus effluent limitations calculated under s. NR 217.13 with operational changes, the department shall renew the variance for the remaining term of the permit, and the permittee shall submit a facilities plan in accordance with the schedule in subd. 3.
- 3. If required by <u>subd. 2.</u>, the permittee shall, within 48 months of permit reissuance, submit a facilities plan that evaluates alternatives for meeting the phosphorus effluent limitations calculated under s. NR 217.13. The facilities plan shall satisfy the requirements in <u>ss. NR 110.08</u> and <u>110.09</u>.
- (5) CONTINUED VARIANCES. (a) If a permittee received approval for a variance to the phosphorus standard under this section in a reissued permit, the permittee may request a continued variance from the phosphorus standard in a subsequent reissued permit pursuant to the procedures in ch. NR 200 and s. 283.15 (4), Stats.
- (b) If a permittee requests a continued variance in a subsequent reissuance because attaining the water quality based phosphorus effluent limitations is not feasible and would cause substantial

and widespread adverse social and economic impacts in the area where the permittee is located as provided under s. 283.15 (4) (a) 1. f., Stats., all of the following information shall be submitted and considered by the department in its decision on this variance request:

- 1. Information in s. NR 200.22 (1).
- 2. The date the major components of the stabilization pond or lagoon system were constructed, or most recently substantially modified.
- 3. The projected design life of the stabilization pond or lagoon system as stated in the approved facilities plan at the time the system was constructed.
- 4. In addition to the information in s. NR 200.22 (1) (p), information on the remaining debt service associated with the construction of the existing stabilization pond or lagoon system and household income in the service area.
- 5. An assessment of the current system as reflected by the information submitted to the department under the compliance maintenance annual reporting requirements of ch. NR 208.
 - 6. Any other water quality standards variances previously granted to the permittee.

SECTION 18. EFFECTIVE DATE. This rule shall take effect on the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin administrative register as provided in s. 227.22(2), Stats.

SECTION 19. BOARD ADOPTION. The forgoing rule was approved and adopted by the
State of Wisconsin Natural Resources Board on
Dated at Madison, Wisconsin
STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
By
Matthew J. Frank, Secretary
(SEAL)