Report From Agency

RULE REPORT

Department of Commerce

Clearinghouse R	Rule No.: 09-121		
Rule No.: Cha	pter Comm 136		
Relating to: M	idwestern Disaster Area Bonds		
Contact person for substantive questions:			Contact person for internal processing:
Name Sam Ro	ockweiler	Name	(same)
Title Code D	evelopment Consultant	Title	
Telephone Number <u>266-0797</u>		Telepho	one Number

1. Basis and purpose of the proposed rule.

As required by Executive Order #288, these rules implement the Wisconsin provisions of the federal Heartland Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2008, which authorizes the Governor to designate up to \$3,830,112,000 in Qualified Midwestern Disaster Area Bonds for facilitating the recovery and rebuilding of areas that were declared major disaster areas in 2008. These bonds must be issued before January 1, 2013.

2. How the proposed rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes.

The proposed rules are consistent with the Department's statutory duty to foster the growth and diversification of the economy of Wisconsin.

3. Changes to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate that was prepared for public hearing.

No substantive changes were made to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate.

COM-10550 (R.02/04)

FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

Department of Commerce

Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)

1. Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements.

Less stringent requirements are not proposed for small businesses because the directive under which these rules are proposed, Executive Order #288, does not provide such flexibility.

 Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.

No issues were raised.

COM-10538 (N.03/97)

(Continued on reverse side)

3. Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.

No substantive reporting would be imposed on small businesses.

4. Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.

The rules are not expected to impose significant costs on small businesses for other measures because the rules address submittal of documentation, and other activities, only by applicants that choose to benefit from tax-exempt private activity bonds.

5. Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

6. Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.

None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed rules.

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

Department of Commerce

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 09-121
RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 136
RELATING TO: Midwestern Disaster Area Bonds
Agency contact person for substantive questions.
Name: Sam Rockweiler
Title: Code Development Consultant
Telephone No. 266-0797
Legislative Council report recommendations accepted in whole.
Yes X No
1. Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]
a. X Accepted
b. Accepted in part
c. Rejected
d. Comments attached
2. Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)]
a. Accepted
b. X Accepted in part
c. Rejected

d. X Comments attached

COM-10539 (N.03/97)

(Continued on reverse side)

3.	Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
4.	Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
5.	Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
6.	Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s. 227.15(2)(g)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected
	d. Comments attached
7.	Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]
	a. X Accepted
	b. Accepted in part
	c. Rejected

d. Comments attached