						2009 Session	
	•	ORIGINAL		UPDATED		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. VA 2.01 (2)(a) VA 2.01 (2)(b) VA 2.01 (3)(b) VA 2.01 (3)(c)	
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)		CORRECTED		SUPPLEMENTAL	_	Amendment No. if Applicable	
Subject Amending administrative rules relating to the assistance to needy veterans grant program							
Fiscal Effect State: □ No State Fiscal Effect							
	akac a	direct appropriation			■ Increase	Costs - May be possible to Absorb	
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.					Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ■ No		
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation		☐ Increase Existi	na Re	evenues			
☐ Decrease Existing Appropriatio	☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues				☐ Decrease	e Costs	
☐ Create New Appropriation							
Local: ☐ No local government or  1. ☐ Increase Costs		2			E Typese	f Local Governmental Units Affected:	
<ol> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>□ Permissive</li> <li>□ Mandato</li> </ol>		3. ☐ Increase Revenues ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory			□ Towns	☐ Villages ☐ Cities	
2. ☐ Decrease Costs	-	,		☐ Counties	•		
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandato		☐ Permissive		☐ Mandatory	☐ School D	<del></del>	
Fund Sources Affected  ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ■ SEG ☐ SEG-S  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  S20.485 2(vm)							
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate							
This proposed administrative rule change amends VA 2.01 (2) (a), VA 2.01 (2) (b), VA 2.01 (3) (b) and VA 2.01 (3) (c) relating to the assistance to needy veterans grants (ANVG).							
The proposed administrative rule establishes the following provisions governing subsistence aid grants (SAG) under the ANVG program:							
<ul> <li>Allowing applicants to apply for subsistence aid at any point in the 90 day period following loss of income from an illness, injury or natural disaster.</li> <li>No subsistence aid will be granted for any period prior to the date the application for subsistence aid is received.</li> </ul>							
Under current law, subsistence aid may be granted within a 30 day period following the loss of income due to illness, injury, or a natural disaster.							
The proposed rule amendment will s data available to estimate the fiscal submit an application within the requisiported in FY08 were denied for the proposed rule change would inc	effect uired r not	are the number of 30-day time limit. meeting the 30-day	gran Avai y limi	t applications de lable data for sh t. The average S	enied in FY0 ows that 40 SAG grant in	8 because the veteran failed to of the 127 SAG applications FY08 was \$1,997. Consequently,	

The base level funding for SAGs is \$172,000 per fiscal year. A total of \$119,800 in SAGs were approved in FY08. The increased funding needed to cover the estimated 40 additional SAGs is \$27,700 (\$199,700 - \$172,000).

There is no data on the number of veterans who did not submit an application because they were aware of the 30-day limit and knew that their application would be disapproved because they had not applied within the 30-day limit. As a result, it is anticipated that the number of additional applications received due to increasing the limit to 90-days would be greater than the 40 applications that were submitted and disapproved. Unfortunately, there is no way of estimating what that number will be.

An additional fiscal effect of the proposed rule changes is that the amount of the SAG grant would be prorated over the 90-day period since no subsistence aid would be granted for any period prior to the date the application for subsistence aid is received. The effect of this provision, at least over the short-term, would be to lower SAG expenditures. However, the data needed to estimate that amount of cost savings does not exist.

Because it is not possible to estimate all of the cost impacts of the proposed rule changes, the fiscal estimate is indeterminate. However, based on the cost of the 40 known SAGapplications that would have been paid under the proposed rule changes, it is estimated that SAG funding should be increased by \$48,200 in FY10 and \$59,200 in FY11. The table below shows how the dollar amounts for FY10 and FY11 were calculated.

Fiscal Year	Estimated Demand*	Base Level Funding	Additional Funding Nee ded**
FY08	\$199,900	\$172,000	\$27,900
FY09	\$209,700	\$172,000	\$37,700
FY10	\$220,200	\$172,000	\$48,200
FY11	\$231,200	\$172,000	\$59,200

<sup>\*</sup>Assumes a 5% inflation factor for each fiscal year after FY08.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

Zolonda Eubanks 264-7072

Dept. of Veterans Affairs

Authorized Signature/Telephone No. **Kenneth B. Black 266-2256** 

Date 3/18/09

<sup>\*\*</sup>Assumes that the proposed rule changes would become effective at the start of FY10.