

FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 (R 10/94) <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle; margin-left: 20px;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ORIGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> UPDATED <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENTAL </div>		LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No. ch. ATCP 30 Amendment No. (If Applicable)
Subject: Creation of Additional Atrazine Prohibition Area		
Fiscal Effect State: <input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect Check below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation </div> <div style="width: 45%; vertical-align: top;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs – May be possible to absorb within agency's budget? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs </div> </div>		
Local : <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No local government costs <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory </div> </div>		5. Types of Local Gov. Unit Affected: <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Villages <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
Fund Source Affected: <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEG-S		Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations: 20.115(7)(r)
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate <u>State Government</u> <p>The rule will be administered by the Agricultural Resource Management Division of the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP). The following estimate is based on adding approximately 1,830 acres of land between two existing atrazine prohibition areas, thereby merging the two prohibition areas into one.</p> <p>Administration and enforcement of the proposal will involve new costs for the department. Specialist and field investigator staff time will be needed for inspections and enforcement in the additional prohibition area (0.1 FTE, cost approximately \$7,800). Enforcement activities will be conducted in conjunction with current compliance inspections but at increased levels to ensure compliance within the prohibition area.</p> <p>Soil sampling conducted in the expanded prohibition area to determine compliance with the rules will require an estimated \$1,000 in analytical services.</p> <p>Total Annual Costs: \$8,800</p> <p>The Department anticipates no additional costs for other state agencies. Water sampling programs within the Department of Natural Resources and local health agencies may receive short-term increased interest by individuals requesting samples.</p> <p><u>On Local Units of Government</u></p> <p>The rule does not mandate that local government resources be expended on sample collection, rule administration or enforcement. The rule is therefore not expected to have any fiscal impact on local units of government. County agricultural agents will likely receive requests for information on provisions of the rule and on weed control strategies with eliminated reliance on atrazine. This responsibility will probably be incorporated into current extension programs with no net fiscal impact.</p>		
Long - Range Fiscal Implications		
Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) DATCP Rick Graham ph. 608-224-4502	Authorized Signature/Telephone No.	Date

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET**2009 SESSION**Detailed Estimate of Annual
Fiscal Effect
DOA-2047 (R10/94)
☒ ORIGINAL ☐ UPDATED
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTAL
LRB or Bill No/Adm. Rule No.
ch. ATCP 30

Amendment No.

SUBJECT

Creation of Additional Atrazine Prohibition Area

I. One-time Cost or Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):*Costs are recurring; see below.***II. Annualized Cost:****Annualized Fiscal Impact on State funds from:****A. State Costs by Category****Increased Costs****Decreased Costs**

1. State Operations - Salaries and Fringes

\$7,800

\$ - 0

2. (FTE Position Changes)

(0.1 FTE)

(-0 FTE)

3. State Operations - Other Costs

\$1,000

- 0

4. Local Assistance

0

- 0

5. Aids to Individuals or Organizations

0

- 0

TOTAL State Costs by Category

\$ 8,800

\$ - 0

B. State Costs by Source of Funds**Increased Costs****Decreased Costs**

1. GPR

\$ 0

\$ - 0

2. FED

0

- 0

3. PRO/PRS

0

- 0

4. SEG/SEG-S

\$8,800

- 0

III. State Revenues -**Increased Revenue****Decreased Revenue**

Complete this section only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fees)

• GPR Taxes

\$ 0

\$ - 0

• GPR Earned

0

- 0

• FED

0

- 0

• PRO/PRS

0

- 0

• SEG/SEG-S

0

- 0

TOTAL State Revenues

\$ 0

\$ - 0

NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACTSTATELOCAL

NET CHANGE IN COSTS

\$ 8,800

\$ 0

NET CHANGE IN REVENUES

\$ 0

\$ 0

Agency Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

DATCP

Rick Graham, ph. 608-224-4502

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

Date